

The Psychological Effects of Social Seclusion on the Mental and Physical Health of Chronic Pain Patients

¹Dr. Seema Singh Parmar, ²Dr. Yogananthan S, ³Ms. Bandana Deuri

Received: 15- June -2023

Revised: 12- July -2023

Accepted: 02- August -2023

¹Professor, Department of Psychitry , Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India, Email id- dr.seemas3@gmail.com

²Assistant Professor, Department of Decision Science, CMS Business School, JAIN (Deemed to-be University), Bangalore, India, Email Id-dr.s_yogananthan@cms.ac.in

³Assistance Professor, Department of Clinical Psychology, Assam down town University, Guwahati, Assam, India, Email id- bandana.deuri@adtu.in,

Abstract

Introduction: In the past few years, there has been an increase in interest in the consequences of social isolation on the physical and emotional health of those who suffer from chronic pain. Social isolation, which is frequently a side effect of chronic pain, can make the already distressing symptoms that these people endure worse. It is essential to comprehend how social isolation affects an individual's general health in order to create support and intervention mechanisms that are efficient.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to look into how chronic pain sufferers' physical and mental wellness were affected by social isolation.

Methods: There were an overall of 84 people suffering from chronic pain, and half of them were split evenly between the GA-Fitbit (GAF) and GA (GA) groups. All participants filled out a self-reporting scale for social isolation, a mental performance scale, and a multimodal physical well-being scale. The pre- and post-treatment shifts in social isolation were analysed using linear mixed effects modelling, and their connection to gains in mental and physical health were investigated.

Results: Gains in self-reported physical and mental health were similarly large and statistically significant between the two therapies from starting point to the conclusion of treatment.

Conclusion: Chronic pain patients who experience social isolation may benefit from treatments designed to reduce that feeling.

Keywords- Chronic pain, social seclusion, mental health, physical health, treatment

1. Introduction

Chronic pain is a complicated condition that frequently involves aspects of the mental, physical, and psychological systems. Awareness the entire wellbeing of those who experience this condition requires an awareness of the psychological and social elements of pain. Patients with chronic pain already experienced difficulties like restricted movement, decreased involvement in everyday tasks, and enhanced pharmaceutical dependence before the epidemic. The pandemic has exacerbated these problems, too, as social segregation rules and lockdown procedures have pushed people into protracted isolation and limited their access to medical care, social interaction, and physical improvement (Ledel Solem et al. (2020)).

Mental health may suffer as a result of social isolation and the ensuing lack of social support. Because their daily routines are disrupted, they have less social connections, and they experienced more alone, people with chronic pain are more likely to experience symptoms such as sadness, nervousness, and higher levels of stress. The psychological cost of chronic pain is made worse by the lack of physical contact and the diminished emotional support networks, further sacrificing mental health (Carswell et al. (2022)).

Social isolation puts an impact on one's physical health as well. Levels of physical activity can fall and warning signs of pain can worsen as a result of self-isolation strategies and social distance restrictions that limit transportation. Additionally, the interruption of routine medical care may make it difficult to receive critical therapies and treatments, postponing pain management plans and aggravating the sensation of chronic pain. These effects on physical health, along with the psychological discomfort brought on by social isolation, result in an extensive relationship between the mind and body that worsens the general health of those with chronic pain (de Maio Nascimento, 2020).

It is imperative for healthcare professionals, decision-makers, and society at wide to comprehend the severe effects of social isolation on people with chronic pain. It is crucial to devise tactics to lessen the adverse impacts of seclusion and offer networks of support catered to the particular requirements of people with chronic pain. The negative effects of social isolation on the lives of people with chronic pain can be lessened by recognising the interconnectedness of mental and physical health (Graupensperger et al. (2020)).

For people with chronic pain, the COVID-19 epidemic has brought new difficulties into their life. Their physical and mental health has deteriorated significantly as a result of the mandatory social exclusion and isolation measures. To create specialised treatments that respond to the specific needs of chronic pain patients, it is essential to understand the considerable consequences of social isolation on these patients. Healthcare professionals and political leaders can aid in reducing the negative effects of social isolation, enhancing the general wellbeing of chronic pain patients, and assisting in the development of a more all-encompassing approach to pain management by encouraging interaction with others, providing utilisation of healthcare services, and developing psychological assistance networks (Kontoangelos et al. (2020), Macchi et al. (2021)).

Millions of people experience chronic pain, which significantly complicates their everyday lives. In addition to causing bodily anxiety, it can also have a profoundly negative psychological and emotional impact. Patients with chronic pain already experience a variety of challenges, and the COVID-19 pandemic has added yet another level of difficulty in the form of community-based hospitalisation and social exclusion measures. Chronic pain patients' emotional and physical health have been significantly impacted by the resulting restrictions on social connections and support systems. The purpose of this article is to investigate how social isolation affects the physical and emotional health of people who experience chronic pain.

2. Literature Review

The current paper (Karos et al. (2020)) the worldwide outbreak of the pandemic has made established societal threats to those with chronic pain worse. It is crucial to focus research efforts on the evaluation, reduction, and avoidance of elements of social danger for individuals with chronic pain in order to avoid a growth in the extent and effect of chronic pain at the community level. In the initial phases of social seclusion requirements (Hruschak et al. (2021)), the cross-sectional inquiry study investigated the level of suffering and interference among people with chronic pain and found characteristics of those most afflicted. A number of demographic, socioeconomic, and psychological features have been linked to enhanced pain intensity and disruption during social withdrawal. The results of a linear regression model with showed that while female sex and inflammation worrying about were independently associated with greater pain interference, not predominantly white race, a lower level of education, those with disabilities, fatigue, and more elevated pain catastrophic thinking were autonomously attached with greater pain seriousness. The results imply that unique characteristics among chronic pain patients should be taken into account while planning, developing, and prioritising modifications to enhance pain management and minimise symptom deterioration during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

Although reasonable and essential (Baker et al. (2020)), the practise of seclusion is likely to have a detrimental effect on these exposed populations' mental health, particularly those who are older. As the length of this period of seclusion is still unknown, it will be more crucial than ever for health care practitioners to monitor small changes in older people's mental health. One approach for determining mental health requirements and making plans for future health and social care is the biopsychopharmacosocial model. In light of the expanding COVID-

19 epidemic, this paper aims to walk community health workers through the particulars of this evaluation paradigm.

Among older adults (Domenichiello et al. (2019)), chronic pain is very common and is linked to severe pain and discomfort, handicap, social seclusion, and higher expenses and burdens on healthcare systems. The use of pharmaceuticals for the medical treatment of chronic pain in older persons is typically ineffective and frequently constrained by side effects like urine retention, diarrhoea, fatigue, memory impairment, and a higher probability of falls. Due to the underrepresentation of older persons in clinical trials assessing therapies for chronic pain, little is known about the possible effects of polypharmacy and fragile state on claimed performance and negative reaction characteristics. Estimates for chronic pain in these populations are especially difficult due to correlations between chronic pain and the risks of early death and hastened memory loss.

The research (Karayannis et al. (2019)) they carry out makes a contribution to the fields of rehabilitation science and pain medicine by offering initial data on the extent to which a person's level of social integration may affect their level of physical and mental health. The discovery that SI considerably influences people's PI, especially considering there being no evidence of consequences of PI or PF on SI, would imply that people's level of social engagement and connection should be taken into account in the evaluation as well as the therapy strategy. This study advances knowledge of how social variables impact pain and has significance for how therapeutic approaches may be made more successful.

Through the cross-sectional investigation (Nieto et al. (2020)), 504 Japanese people with chronic pain responded to a web-based questionnaire about pertinent chronic pain dimensions, ways to manage pain, catalysts and possibly associated factors. Participants had intermediate to severe chronic pain, persistent impairment, and an 89 percent female gender breakdown. The greatest pain-related effects and impression of pain frustration were noted. Poorer outcomes were associated with factors of circumstance such job anxiety, concerns about the future, cohabitation, proximity to a deceased person, or probable COVID-19 infection.

Even though there are signs of a transformation in recognition of COVID-19 worldwide (Shah et al. (2020)), it is still challenging to foresee how it can both immediately and later on damage the mental well-being of kids. The management of unclear situations and the virus's prophylactic methods put the psychological well-being of kids at danger. Due to the actions taken, including shutting educational centres, banning social interaction, putting limitations on travel in place, ending sports pursuits, and transferring to online classes, children and adults who care for them have faced emotional distress, fear, and anxiety. Families, public schools, and healthcare professionals have to constantly preserve and guard kids psychological well-being through fostering open discussion and facilitating professional therapy to address difficulties. More attention should be placed on children who are more susceptible to mental health crises with the assistance of the parents, educators, educational establishments, clinicians, experts in psychology, and psychiatrists among others.

The article (El-Tallawy et al. (2020)) focuses on how to effectively use the assets at hand to assist patients with the most serious medical conditions as well as people who are most at risk. The chronic pain patient population as well as the healthcare system may be significantly impacted by COVID-19. Delaying or halting therapy for chronic pain patients will have detrimental effects, hence it is necessary to do thorough pain evaluations in order to adequately triage patients. In particular during the epidemic era, it is crucial to grasp and comprehend current guidelines for the secure consumption of non-opioid analgesics, opioid analgesics, and interventional pain management treatments.

The purpose of this paper (v et al. (2020)) is to provide an in-depth summary of the psychological and social implications related to human isolation, how these affects may manifest, and methods for recognising and minimising these consequences. Analysis: Acute stress disorder, anxiety, a diagnosis of posttraumatic stress disorder, frustration, and self-destruction have all been linked to isolation, with symptoms persisting for years after detention is over. The occupied surroundings, special evaluation features, and occurrences found uniquely among groups confronting isolation all together are only a few of the underlying hazards.

3. Methodology

3.1 Residents and Techniques

Individuals who took part (n=84) with varied chronic pain in the musculoskeletal system were obtained through lists of emails distributed around the hospital and through personal referrals from the pain clinic at Massachusetts General Hospital. The majority of individuals were female (n=56, 68%), white (n=68, 82%), non-Hispanic (n=74, 90%), and those with degrees (n=19, 23% attended for 4 years; n=30, 36% obtained a Graduate/Professional degree). Approximately one-fifth of the respondents (n=19; 23%) had a job that was full-time. From July 2019 to September 2020, every procedure was carried out in a clinic that only treated outpatients. Table 1 displays statistical data.

Applicants went through a preliminary medical appointment to give their explicit consent and finish initial evaluations. Two 10-week programmes (Get Active and Get Active-Fitbit) with similar topics and structures were given to those who enrolled at random; however, participants in the Get Active-Fitbit programme also obtained a Fitbit digital monitoring gadget. Within a week right after the initial evaluation check out, participants showed up for their first 120-minute group session. Thereafter, they went back each week for the following 12 sessions. Participants finished the end of the intervention evaluation with determines that were similar to those given at starting point within a week after the final group session. For each assessment, participants received \$40 in compensation. The Unconstitutional Review Board at Massachusetts General Hospital gave its approval to all methods used for studies.

3.2 components of interventions

Earlier reports provided information on the creation of programmes and therapeutic elements. The 12 weekly - 120 minute in-person sessions for the GA and GAF programmes are conducted by a clinical psychologist. Core competencies throughout all programmes were proposed techniques for enhancing physical function, such as: 1) Establishing frequently targeted objectives for increased activity, everyday living activities, and frequent application of body-mind methods 2) individualised quota-based scheduling; 3) strategies for the mentally and physically connection (such as breathing through the diaphragm), meditation, etc); and 4) learning on the impairment curved (such as how limiting effort increases pain and inability), pain mythologies, and uncontrollable discomfort- linked thought. The course covered topics pertaining to social interactions, such as the various forms of social support, the effects of physical discomfort on social connections, activities to develop sympathy, and advice on how to sustain a welcoming and accepting group environment while solemnly collaborating, observing others, and upholding the unity of the group.

3.3 The Research Sample

3.3.1 Social exclusion -They used the Short Form Version 4a of the PROMIS® Social Isolation Assessment to evaluate isolation from society. This device has four items that assess a person's views concerning feeling excluded, separated, unresolved or ignored by others. With $M = 55$ and $SD = 15$, ratings are given as T scores. Excellent ($\alpha=.95$) internal consistency was observed.

3.3.2 Emotional Ability - The PROMIS (v1.08) mental health scores, each of which has a total of eight categories and measures the occurrence of symptoms during last week on a 1–5 Likert scale, were used to assess emotional functioning. T-scores are used to express results, with $M = 52$ and $SD = 12$. The overall depressive ($\alpha=.98$) and anxiety ($\alpha=.97$) scores have significant internal reliability.

3.3.3 Physical Efficiency - They measured physical function (PF) in conformity with the IMMPACT and the Worldwide Grouping of Disabilities, Health, and Functioning (ICF) standards using reported by themselves, realistic (i.e. step total), and grounded on performance methods. The World Health Organization's (WHO) Disabled Evaluation Schedule WHODAS (2.0), a 38-item inquiries that evaluates impairments in 8 primary areas of efficiency, was used to determine self-reported PF. The WHODAS's hidden dependability was quite high ($\alpha = 0.97$). The 8-minute walk test (6MWT), which records pupil distances stepped on a flat area, was used

to quantify based on performance PF. A motion sensor called the wGT3X-BT Action-Graph was used to figure out the average daily step counts.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

They tested our hypotheses using logistic combined (in an arbitrary and stabilised factors) prospective theories in SAS for Microsoft Windows, version 9.4. In medical research, preliminary findings methods are frequently employed to dynamically analyse continuous data and find pertinent modification variables.

To determine how to examine the hypothesis that pre-post treatment modifications in isolation from society (i.e., reductions) occurred (Step 1) and that changes in social seclusion gradually explained changes in mental and physical efficiency across duration (Step 2), they conducted an assortment of analyses. Step 1's initial objective was to determine whether social isolation had substantially altered over time. An important correlation among the neutral qualitative parameter Time limitations (baseline, post-intervention) and the change in social isolation would serve as an indicator of this. Class (binary: GA, GAF) and the connection of Duration X Groups additionally appear in the framework to compensate for any differences across group circumstances. Nonsignificant associations in each model were eliminated, and the structure of the model was then repeated. Step 1: Test the hypotheses that social isolation improved before and after treatment (i.e., decreased), and that changes in social isolation over time explained changes in both mental and physical functioning (Step 2). Step 1's initial objective was to determine whether social seclusion had dramatically changed over time. A strong association during the modification in social seclusion and the dual categorical component Date (pre-intervention, post-intervention) are a strong indicative of this. Group (binary: GA, GAF) and the relationship of Duration X Organisation were also involved in the model to adjust for any alterations in group circumstances. Every approach statistically insignificant connections were removed, and the framework was then run again.

In Step 2, they ran independent, corresponding assessments with independent (continuous) factors for assessments of both physical and emotional functioning. They modelled for using the fixed consequences of Period, social seclusion, and the way they interacted with Group (GA, GAF) for each dependent variable. Incorporating time into this model allows us to ascertain, independently of social isolation, whether both physical and emotional outcomes altered from standard to posttreatment. Including social isolation as a fixed variable makes it clear if shifting social isolation contributed to shifting consequences. All models' leftovers were statistically examined to determine whether they met the presumption of uniformity.

4. Results and Discussion

Both self-reported metrics and based on performance physical abilities had baseline values that were comparable to individuals seen in earlier educations of persons with chronic pain. Participants' median daily number of steps was significantly greater than certain and less than others compared to those revealed in other exercise-related RCTs for chronic pain.

4.1. Step 1: Social Isolation Has Improved Between Pre- and Post-Treatment Periods

Social isolation within-group developments were substantial ($M = 52.35$ vs. 49.58 , $\text{diff} = 4.79$, $F(,82)=7.64$, $p=.04$). Overall social isolation scores of participants in the GA-Fitbit programme were similar to those of GA participants ($M = 52.85$ vs. 49.08 , $\text{diff} = 5.79$, $F(3,82)=5.70$, $p=.08$). The lack of significance in the group by timeframe collaboration suggests that social isolation levels in the two groups were similar. As was the case for all models below, leftovers from this model satisfactorily followed the presumption of normality.

Table 1: table summarizes the social isolation measurements for participants in the GAF programme and GA participants

	Within-Group Developments	Overall Social Isolation Scores

GAF Programme	M = 52.35	M = 52.85
GA Participants	M = 49.58	M = 49.08
Difference	diff = 4.79	diff. = 5.79
F-value (degrees of freedom)	F(,82) = 7.64	F(3,82) = 5.70
p-value	p = .04	p = .08

4.2. Step 2: Diminutions in social isolation are connected with enhancements in Physical as well as mental capabilities.

Reduction of societal exclusion were associated with developments in anxiety (unstandardized selective a regression analysis constant=B=0.50, $p<.0002$), apprehension (B=0.54, $p<.0002$), self- reported physical development (B=1.05, $p.0002$), and Physical accomplishments based on performance (B=1.28, $p<.028$) after minimising the impact of Teams and Period (Table 2). Each 1 point reduction in social seclusion during therapy was linked to improvements in anxiety as well as depression scores of 0.50 and 0.54, respectively. During therapy, a one point decrease in social isolation was correlated with a one indicate increase in self-reported physical functioning, or a difference of 1.05 points. A 1.28-meter increase in based on performance physical functioning during the walk test that lasted six minutes was correlated with a one-point decrease in social isolation during treatment. For participants in either group programme, reductions in social isolation were not statistically significant ($p>.07$) explain increases in the participants' standard daily step count.

Table 2 : The table summarizes the social isolation measurements for participants in the GAF programme and GA participants

	Coefficient (B)	p-value
Anxiety (unstandardized)	0.50	.0002
Anxiety	0.54	.0002
Self-reported Physical Function	1.05	.0002
Performance-based Physical Function	1.28	.028

5. Conclusion

The results of this study among the first to show developments in social seclusion after a mind and body treatment for chronic pain, the emotional and physiological implications of such change. To assist minimise the isolation to which this demographic is more susceptible and possibly enhance outcomes for both mental and physical state, strategies for including components that emphasise social seclusion may be beneficial for people with chronic pain. (e.g., exercises in unity among teams, taking part in cooperative pursuits, and "buddying-up").

There were several advantages to the current investigation. This study expands on earlier research that looked at how social isolation affected patients with chronic pain over the years in terms of their physical and emotional

health. This secondary evaluation of a randomised controlled trial (RCT) on mind and body physical activity sheds light on how group therapy may lessen social isolation and affect results. Additionally, they thoroughly evaluated physical performance using standardised standards. This mixed-modelling strategy also enabled us to examine both the immediate and subsequent possessions of social isolation on both mental and physical activity, compensate for any group impacts, and concurrently take into account unanticipated consequences for participants and the effects across time.

There are some restrictions to be aware of. Because of the short number of samples, statistical capacity was constrained. The extent to which improvements could be explained by particular skills (such as bolstering social support) or non-specific characteristics (such as support from a mental health professional or group) was also limited when examining treatments that taught the same abilities without a no-treatment comparison situation. Reversion to the mean or unexpected development as time passes in results cannot be considered out. Future research that includes proactively managing conditions and greater sample numbers may offer a more detailed explanation of the causes of conversion.

References

1. Ledel Solem, I.K., Varsi, C., Eide, H., Kristjansdottir, O.B., Børøsund, E., Schreurs, K.M., Waxenberg, L.B., Weiss, K.E., Morrison, E.J., Haaland-Øverby, M. and Bevan, K., 2020. A user-centered approach to an evidence-based electronic health pain management intervention for people with chronic pain: design and development of EPIO. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 22(1), e15889.
2. Carswell, C., Brown, J.V.E., Lister, J., Ajjan, R.A., Alderson, S.L., Balogun-Katung, A., Bellass, S., Double, K., Gilbody, S., Hewitt, C.E. and Holt, R.I., 2022. The lived experience of severe mental illness and long-term conditions: a qualitative exploration of service user, carer, and healthcare professional perspectives on self-managing co-existing mental and physical conditions. *BMC psychiatry*, 22(1), 479.
3. de Maio Nascimento, M., 2020. Covid-19: U3A students' report on the impacts of social isolation on physical and mental health and access to information about the virus during the pandemic. *Educational gerontology*, 46(9), 499-511.
4. Graupensperger, S., Benson, A.J., Kilmer, J.R. and Evans, M.B., 2020. Social (un) distancing: Teammate interactions, athletic identity, and mental health of student-athletes during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 67(5), 662-670.
5. Kontoangelos, K., Economou, M. and Papageorgiou, C., 2020. Mental health effects of COVID-19 pandemic: a review of clinical and psychological traits. *Psychiatry investigation*, 17(6), 491.
6. Macchi, Z.A., Ayele, R., Dini, M., Lamira, J., Katz, M., Pantilat, S.Z., Jones, J. and Kluger, B.M., 2021. Lessons from the COVID-19 pandemic for improving outpatient neuropalliative care: A qualitative study of patient and caregiver perspectives. *Palliative Medicine*, 35(7), 1258-1266.
7. Karos, K., McParland, J.L., Bunzli, S., Devan, H., Hirsh, A., Kapos, F.P., Keogh, E., Moore, D., Tracy, L.M. and Ashton-James, C.E., 2020. The social threats of COVID-19 for people with chronic pain. *Pain*, 161(10), 2229.
8. Hruschak, V., Flowers, K.M., Azizoddin, D.R., Jamison, R.N., Edwards, R.R. and Schreiber, K.L., 2021. Cross-sectional study of psychosocial and pain-related variables among patients with chronic pain during a time of social distancing imposed by the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *Pain*, 162(2), 619.
9. Baker, E. and Clark, L.L., 2020. Biopsychopharmacological approach to assess impact of social distancing and isolation on mental health in older adults. *British journal of community nursing*, 25(5), 231-238.
10. Domenichiello, A.F. and Ramsden, C.E., 2019. The silent epidemic of chronic pain in older adults. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, 93, 284-290.
11. Karayannis, N.V., Baumann, I., Sturgeon, J.A., Melloh, M. and Mackey, S.C., 2019. The impact of social isolation on pain interference: a longitudinal study. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 53(1), 65-74.
12. Nieto, R., Pardo, R., Sora, B., Feliu-Soler, A. and Luciano, J.V., 2020. Impact of COVID-19 lockdown measures on Spanish people with chronic pain: An online study survey. *Journal of clinical medicine*, 9(11), 3558.

13. Shah, K., Mann, S., Singh, R., Bangar, R. and Kulkarni, R., 2020. Impact of COVID-19 on the Mental Health of Children and Adolescents. *Cureus*, 12(8).
14. El-Tallawy, S.N., Nalamasu, R., Pergolizzi, J.V. and Gharibo, C., 2020. Pain management during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Pain and Therapy*, 9, 453-466.
15. Jurblum, M., Ng, C.H. and Castle, D.J., 2020. Psychological consequences of social isolation and quarantine: Issues related to COVID-19 restrictions. *Australian journal of general practice*, 49(12), 778-783.