

## The Mangroves Of Bongabong, Oriental Mindoro, Philippines: Study On The Vegetation And Biomass Level

Randy A. Quitain<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup> College of Arts and Sciences, Mindoro State University, Oriental Mindoro, Philippines

\* Corresponding author: Randy A. Quitain

\*e-mail: randy.quitain@minsu.edu.ph

### ABSTRACT

Mangroves are group of salt-tolerant trees, shrubs, and plants that thrive in the brackish to saline tidal waters of tropical and subtropical coastlines. They provide essential environmental services and critical ecological functions that impact both land and sea resources. Despite their immense value, mangrove ecosystems are globally under threat. To ensure the preservation of these vital ecosystems, it is imperative to enhance the understanding of mangrove biology and ecology. Comprehensive assessments are needed to identify species composition, evaluate biomass production, and implement conservation measures to safeguard these invaluable habitats. This study aims to provide baseline data regarding the ecology, distribution, and carbon sink potentials of the abovementioned tree species. Allometric and vegetation analysis were used to collect baseline data regarding the status of mangroves in the Municipality of Bongabong, Oriental Mindoro, Philippines. The mangrove sites in Bongabong boast high diversity, hosting around 17 species of mangroves from 8 families that are classified as true mangrove species. Based on the data, *Sonneratia alba* was notable as the most dominant species, boasting an impressive Importance Value Index (IVI) of 96.81%. Followed by *Avicennia marina*, with a respectable IVI of 52.67%, while *Rhizophora mucronata* and *Rhizophora apiculata* hold their IVIs of 30.31% and 28.96% respectively. Meanwhile, the total aboveground biomass of Bongabong mangroves is 95.4 tons/ha, and a specific area stands out for its high biomass production is the Sukol River with 45.6 tons/ha. There should be a substantial baseline data collection to create a better strategy in conserving and protecting the mangroves.

**Keywords:** Bongabong, biomass, mangroves, Oriental Mindoro, Philippines, vegetation

### INTRODUCTION

Mangroves are a crucial group of salt-tolerant trees, shrubs, and plants that thrive in the brackish to saline tidal waters of tropical and subtropical coastlines. These unique species have evolved special adaptations such as stilt roots and pneumatophores to survive the saline environment. They play a key role in coastal ecosystems by providing essential environmental services and critical ecological functions that impact both land and sea resources. One of the primary benefits of mangroves are their ability to protect coastlines from storms and tsunamis, acting as a natural barrier against extreme weather events. They also help regulate water quality, provide breeding and rearing habitats for various fish and shellfish species, offer important forest products for local communities, and support biodiversity by housing rare and endangered species. Additionally, mangroves serve as a source of nutrients and energy for neighbouring habitats like seagrass beds and coral reefs, while also attracting visitors for ecotourism activities (Agustriani, 2023).

The significance of mangroves extend beyond their ecological functions. These ecosystems are essential for the livelihoods of indigenous peoples who have relied on them for centuries for resources like wood, thatch, medicines, and food. Moreover, mangrove forests are significant carbon sinks, playing a vital role in sequestering carbon and mitigating climate change (Bimrah, 2022).

Despite their immense value, mangrove ecosystems are under threat. Rapid deforestation and degradation have led to a significant decline in mangrove areas, such as in the Philippines where a substantial reduction has occurred over the past century. To ensure the preservation of these vital ecosystems, it is imperative to enhance the understanding of mangrove biology and ecology. Comprehensive assessments are needed to identify species composition, evaluate biomass production, and implement conservation measures to safeguard these invaluable habitats.

By conducting thorough research and implementing targeted conservation strategies, policymakers and decision-makers can protect and restore mangrove ecosystems for a sustainable future, benefiting both nature and society. Relative to the above discussions regarding mangrove ecosystem services, and the necessity to gather substantial data for utilization in conservation strategies; this study aims to provide baseline data regarding the ecology, distribution and carbon sink potentials of the abovementioned tree species.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Location of the Study

The study was carried out in the mangrove stations of the five barangays of Bongabong, Oriental Mindoro, namely: Masaguishi (12°41'35"N, 121°32'14"E), Labasan (12.765°N, 121.477°E), Ipil (Sukol River) (12°44'39"N, 121°29'22"E), Cawayan (12.649°N, 121.547°E), and Anilao (12.728°N, 121.517°E). Mangrove structures were evaluated based on their species composition, and biomass production. The mangrove site was brackish water, riverine and inter-tidal in characteristics.



**Figure 1.** The location map of the study sites, Municipality of Bongabong, Oriental Mindoro, Philippines

### Data Gathering Procedure and Data Processing

#### Plot Sampling, Species Identification and Girth Determination

Using a tape measure, the tree's girth was measured at breast height, around 1.3 meters above the ground. When dealing with large stems, they were seen as separate trees, each requiring individual measurement. In the case of *Rhizophora* species, measurements were specifically taken above the most prominent prop root. Previous studies utilized DBH (Diameter at Breast Height) and TH (Total Height) as key factors in mathematical equations to calculate the above-ground biomass of mangrove species. The approach followed in this study, inspired by Hossain et al. (2015), focused on allometric models using DBH as the sole independent variable, rather than total tree height. This method proved effective in the field, prompting the recommendation of DBH as the primary variable for allometric models in similar investigations.

*Below is the allometric equations used to estimate above-ground biomass using DBH and TH:*

$$\sqrt{\text{Biomass}} = 0.48\text{DBH} - 0.13$$

#### Vegetation Analysis

In estimating the mangrove vegetation, frequency denotes the extent of dispersion of individual species within a particular area and is commonly represented as a percentage of occurrence. Based on this condition, the study employed the formulae of Curtis (1933) which utilized by Raunkiaer (1934) to estimate the frequency and relative frequency of mangroves species in a given area of the study sites. This method enables the researcher to probe into the distribution and abundance of various species present in the ecosystem, providing valuable insights into the intricate dynamics of these coastal habitats.

*Below are the frequency and relative frequency formulae use to assess mangrove vegetation:*

$$\text{Frequency} = \frac{\text{No. of occurrences of species}}{\text{Total no. of site samples taken}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Relative Frequency} = \frac{\text{No. of occurrences of particular species}}{\text{Total no. of occurrences of all the species}} \times 100$$

In studying species resilience in the environment, researcher often assess their density and abundance to gain insights regarding their populations. Density refers to the number of individuals found within a specific sampling unit, while abundance indicates the quantity of individuals present per sampling unit. To further evaluate the significance of mangroves, the study uses the Important Value Index (IVI), which combines the relative frequency, relative abundance, and relative density of each species to provide a comprehensive understanding of their dominance and ecological impact (Nabi & Brahmaji Rao in 2012).

Below are the formulae for computing Mangroves Abundance, Density and Important Value Index:

$$\text{Abundance (A)} = \frac{\text{Total number of individuals}}{\text{Number of Sampling units of occurrence}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Relative Abundance} = \frac{\text{Abundance of a particular species}}{\text{Sum of the abundance of all species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Density} = \frac{\text{Total no. of individuals of a species in all quadrats}}{\text{Total no. of quadrats sampled}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Relative Density} = \frac{\text{Density of a particular species}}{\text{Sum of the densities of all species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{IVI} = \text{Relative frequency} + \text{Relative abundance} + \text{Relative density}$$

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Vegetation

Generally, the mangrove sites in Bongabong boast high diversity, hosting around 17 species of mangroves from 8 families that are classified as true mangrove species (see Table 1). Tomlinson (1986) distinguishes true mangrove species by their unique characteristics such as their exclusive adaptation to the mangrove environment, ability to form pure stands, significant community role, morphological adaptations for environmental adaptability, saltwater excretion capability, and taxonomic isolation from terrestrial mangroves. According to Primavera (2000), there are 70 species of mangroves worldwide, with at least 47 species found in the Philippines. This indicates that the mangroves in Bongabong represent nearly 24% of global mangrove species and 36% of Philippines' mangrove species.

While the mangrove diversity in Bongabong is considered rich, it falls slightly behind other sites in the Philippines. For instance, a study in Abatan River, Maribojoc, Bohol identified approximately 31 mangrove species. Other areas with high species diversity include the mangrove regions of Aurora Province with 30 species, Makato River, Aklan with 22 species, and Puerto Princesa Bay, Palawan with 28 mangrove species (Middeljans, 2015).

**Table 1.** List of Mangrove species in the study sites

Scientific Name	Family Name	Local Name
<i>Sonneratia alba</i>	Sonneratiaceae	Pagatpat
<i>Avicennia marina</i>	Avicenniaceae	Bungalon
<i>Rhizophora mucronata</i>	Rhizophoraceae	Bakhawang Lalaki
<i>Rhizophora apiculata</i>	Rhizophoraceae	Bakhawang Babae
<i>Bruguiera sexangula</i>	Rhizophoraceae	Pototan
<i>Scyphiphora hydrophyllaceae</i>	Rubiaceae	Nilad
<i>Avicennia officinalis</i>	Avicenniaceae	Api-api
<i>Ceriops decandra</i>	Rhizophoraceae	Baras-baras
<i>Bruguiera cylindrica</i>	Rhizophoraceae	Pototan
<i>Avicennia rumphiana</i>	Avicenniaceae	Api-api
<i>Heritiera littoralis</i>	Malvaceae	Dungon
<i>Lumnitzera racemosa</i>	Combretaceae	Kulasi
<i>Bruguiera parviflora</i>	Rhizophoraceae	Pototan

<i>Aegiceras corniculatum</i>	Myrsinaceae	Saging-saging
<i>Avicennia alba</i>	Avicenniaceae	Api-api
<i>Bruguiera gymnorhiza</i>	Rhizophoraceae	Busain
<i>Excoecaria agallocha</i>	Euphorbiaceae	Pipisik

Based on Table 2, Vegetation analysis on the mangroves of Bongabong, in this study of coastal vegetation analysis, certain species emerge as key players to provide ecological importance. Based on the data, *Sonneratia alba* was notable as the most dominant species, boasting an impressive Importance Value Index (IVI) of 96.81%. Followed by *Avicennia marina*, with a respectable IVI of 52.67%, while *Rhizophora mucronata* and *Rhizophora apiculata* hold their IVIs of 30.31% and 28.96% respectively. It is noteworthy that among these species, two belong to the family Rhizophoraceae, one to *Sonneratiaceae*, and one to *Avicenniaceae*, each contributing uniquely to the coastal landscape. Conversely, species like *Excoecaria agallocha*, *Bruguiera gymnorhiza*, and *Avicennia alba* exhibit lower importance value indices, indicating a lesser impact on the overall vegetation dynamics. *Avicennia marina* emerges as the most frequently occurring species, representing 21% of the vegetation, while *Sonneratia alba* lead in density at 26.36%. These statistics shed light on the distribution and abundance of key species within coastal habitats, offering insights into the intricate balance of the environment. Previous research findings point towards the dominance of *Sonneratia* species in coastal communities across the Philippines, highlighting their significant role in shaping local ecosystems. On the other hand, *Avicennia marina* emerges as a prevalent species in Aurora Province and Bohol Island, showcasing the regional variations in vegetation composition and distribution. By understanding the unique attributes of each species and their ecological significance, experts gain a deeper appreciation for the intricate features of coastal vegetation and the vital role it plays in sustaining biodiversity and ecosystem health.

**Table 2.** Vegetation analysis on the Mangroves of Bongabong

Mangrove Species	Tree density	F	Basal area (m <sup>2</sup> /ha)	RD	RF	RD <sub>Dom</sub>	IVI	Rank
<i>Sonneratia alba</i>	271	16	4.07	26.36	19.75	50.7	96.81	1
<i>Avicennia marina</i>	172	17	1.2	16.73	21	14.94	52.67	2
<i>Rhizophora mucronata</i>	137	8	0.57	13.33	9.88	7.1	30.31	3
<i>Rhizophora apiculata</i>	90	8	0.83	8.75	9.88	10.33	28.96	4
<i>Bruguiera sexangula</i>	85	3	0.44	8.27	3.7	5.5	17.47	5
<i>Scyphiphora hydrophyllaceae</i>	103	3	0.25	10.02	3.7	3.11	16.83	6
<i>Avicennia officinalis</i>	33	4	0.157	3.21	4.94	1.95	10.1	7
<i>Ceriops decandra</i>	44	4	0.065	4.28	4.94	0.81	10.03	8
<i>Bruguiera cylindrica</i>	12	4	0.138	1.17	4.94	1.72	7.83	9
<i>Avicennia rumphiana</i>	14	3	0.053	1.36	3.7	0.66	5.72	10
<i>Heritiera littoralis</i>	3	2	0.173	0.3	2.47	2.15	4.92	11
<i>Lumnitzera racemosa</i>	21	1	0.077	2.04	1.23	0.96	4.23	12
<i>Bruguiera parviflora</i>	11	2	0.041	1.07	2.47	0.51	4.05	13
<i>Aegiceras corniculatum</i>	12	2	0.025	1.17	2.47	0.31	3.95	14
<i>Avicennia alba</i>	6	2	0.01	0.58	2.47	0.12	3.17	15
<i>Bruguiera gymnorhiza</i>	6	1	0.051	0.58	1.23	0.63	2.44	16
<i>Excoecaria agallocha</i>	8	1	0.022	0.78	1.23	0.27	2.28	17
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,028</b>							

### Mangrove Biomass

Based on Table 3, Total and Mean Aboveground Biomass of the mangroves of Bongabong, through the use of allometric models, the study has estimated the total aboveground biomass of Bongabong mangroves is 95.4 tons/ha. This calculation provides information into the immense carbon storage capacity of the mangrove ecosystems. One specific area that stands out for its high biomass production is the Sukol River in the community of Ipil, where the total biomass reaches 45.6 tons/ha. The dominance of large-girthed species like *Sonneratia alba* and *Rhizophora mucronata* in this area contributes significantly to its biomass production. The proximity of this area to the river further enhances its potential for carbon sequestration, making it a key player in mitigating climate change effects. When looking at species-level estimations,

*Sonneratia alba* emerges as the top biomass producer among the mangrove species present in Bongabong. This highlights the importance of understanding the role of different species in overall biomass production, and carbon storage within mangrove ecosystems. Beyond their role in carbon sequestration, the mangroves of Bongabong offer a diverse ecosystem with unique interactions between flora and fauna. This diversity not only contributes to the environmental landscape of the region but also supports various species that rely on mangroves for habitat and resources.

**Table 3.** Total and Mean Aboveground Biomass of the Mangroves of Bongabong

Mangrove Sites	Mean Aboveground Biomass(tons/ha)
Masaguisi	31.9
Labasan	8.3
Ipil	45.6
Anilao	2.6
Cawayan	7.0
<b>Total Estimated Biomass</b>	<b>95.4</b>
<b>Mean Aboveground Biomass</b>	<b>19.08</b>

### Implication

Mangroves have crucial part in gaseous exchange, biomass production, carbon sequestration, and oxygen release, contributing to the overall balance of the environment (Pillai & Harilal, 2018). Mangrove habitats play a significant role in maintaining homeostasis within the ecosystem, impacting biogeochemical cycles and offering a plethora of ecological benefits. They provide goods to coastal communities, support diverse flora and fauna, act as buffers against natural disasters, and offer essential services like water filtration and storm protection. Furthermore, mangroves support other marine ecosystems like sea grass and coral reefs by providing nutrients and shelter to various wildlife species, including a significant portion of tropical commercial fish (Jones et al., 2014).

Despite their ecological importance, mangrove populations worldwide have been on a decline ranging from 0.16% to 0.39% annually over the past two decades due to various human-induced activities such as extraction, degradation, and failed succession (Hamilton & Casey, 2016). These crucial ecosystems face threats from timber extraction, aquaculture, residential development, and industrial activities that disrupt their delicate balance. Natural phenomena like extreme weather events and sea level rise further endanger mangrove habitats, impacting their biodiversity and overall health (Soares, 2009).

Industrialization has significantly altered the abiotic factors affecting mangrove development, with industrial contamination posing a severe threat to their survival. The discharge of pollutants into water bodies, where mangroves thrive, introduces heavy metals and other harmful substances into their environment, affecting their ecological functions and nutrient processes (Parvaresh, 2011; Richards & Friess, 2016). As a result, mangrove ecosystems face challenges in maintaining their role as natural filters for pollutants.

The depletion of mangrove ecosystems, as seen in the drastic reduction of mangrove cover in the Philippines from 1920 to 1994, highlights the urgent need for conservation and proper management practices (Garcia et al., 2014). To address this decline, restoration initiatives must focus on understanding the growth patterns, nutrient cycling, and energy flow within mangrove ecosystems. Evaluating mangrove ecosystems for bioremediation, economic valuation, and biomass production are essential for their long-term sustainability (Lee et al., 2014). The conservation of mangrove ecosystems is vital to preserving their biological diversity and ecological functions. By understanding the species composition, biomass production, and overall community structure of mangroves, policymakers can develop efficient management strategies to ensure their continued existence.

### CONCLUSIONS

Information on the species composition of the mangroves in Bongabong sheds light on the intricate structure of the study sites. The dominant mangrove species in the area hail from the families *Rhizophoraceae*, *Avicenniaceae*, and *Sonneratiaceae*. Additionally, the mangrove ecosystem along the Sukol River in Bongabong, Oriental Mindoro displays remarkable biomass production, particularly evident through the presence of sizable mangrove species indicating high productivity.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author expresses gratitude towards the Research, Extension, and Development (RED) Unit of Mindoro State University for providing internal funding for the research study. This support has been instrumental in advancing the understanding and exploration of the topic under investigation, highlighting the importance of collaborative efforts within academic institutions for the pursuit of knowledge and innovation.

## REFERENCES

1. Agustriani F., Iskandar I., Yazid M., Fauziyah. (2023). Economic Valuation of Mangrove Ecosystem Services in Sembilang National Park of South Sumatra, Indonesia. *Journal of Hunan University Natural Sciences*. 2023 Feb 28;50(1):66-156.
2. Bimrah K., Dasgupta R., Hashimoto S., Saizen I., Dhyani S. (2022). Ecosystem Services of Mangroves: A Systematic Review and Synthesis of Contemporary Scientific Literature, Vol. 14, Sustainability (Switzerland). MDPI
3. Garcia, K. B., Malabrigo, P. L., & Gevaña, D. T. (2014). Philippines' mangrove ecosystem: Status, threats and conservation. In *Mangrove Ecosystems of Asia: Status, Challenges and Management Strategies* (pp. 81–94). Springer New York. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-8582-7\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-8582-7_5)
4. Hamilton, S. E., & Casey, D. (2016). Creation of a high spatio-temporal resolution global database of continuous mangrove forest cover for the 21st century (CGMFC-21). *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 25(6), 729–738. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12449>
5. Hossain, M., Siddique, M. R. H., Saha, S., & Abdullah, S. M. R. (2015). Allometric models for biomass, nutrients and carbon stock in *Excoecaria agallocha* of the Sundarbans, Bangladesh. *Wetlands Ecology and Management*, 23(4), 765–774. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11273-015-9419-1>
6. Jones, T. G., Ratsimba, H. R., Ravaoarinorotsihoarana, L., Cripps, G., & Bey, A. (2014).
7. Ecological segregation of the late jurassic stegosaurian and iguanodontian dinosaurs of the morrison formation in north america: Pronounced or subtle? *Forests*, 5(1), 177–205. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f5010177>
8. Lee, S. Y., Primavera, J. H., Dahdouh-Guebas, F., Mckee, K., Bosire, J. O., Cannicci, S., Diele, K., Fromard, F., Koedam, N., Marchand, C., Mendelssohn, I., Mukherjee, N., & Record, S. (2014). Ecological role and services of tropical mangrove ecosystems: A reassessment. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 23(7), 726–743. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12155>
9. Middeljans, M. (2015). The species composition of the mangrove forest along the Abatan River in Lincod, Maribojoc, Bohol, Philippines and the mangrove forest structure and its regeneration status between managed and unmanaged Nipa palm (*Nypa fruticans* Wurmb). 10.13140/RG.2.1.1870.1280.
10. Nabi, A., & Brahmaji Rao P. (2012). Analysis of mangrove vegetation of Machilipatnam coastal region, Krishna district, Andhra Pradesh. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 2(3), 1754-1763. <https://doi.org/10.6088/ijes.00202030058>
11. Nellemann, C., Corcoran E., Duarte C.M., Valdés L., De Young C., Fonseca L., Grimsditch G. (2009). *Blue Carbon: A Rapid Response Assessment*. United Nations Environment Programme, GRID-Arendal. 35-43 pp.
12. Parvareh, H., Abedi, Z., Farshchi, P., Karami, M., Khorasani, N., & Karbassi, A. (2011).
13. Bioavailability and concentration of heavy metals in the sediments and leaves of grey mangrove, *avicennia marina* (Forsk.) Vierh, in Sirik Azini creek, Iran. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 143(2), 1121–1130. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-010-8891-y>
14. Pillai, N. G., & Harilal, C. (2018). Inventory on the diversity and distribution of Mangroves from the coastal ecosystems of Kerala State, India. *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research*, 9(2), 24002-24007. <https://doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0902.1579>
15. Primavera J.H. (2013). Field Guide to Philippine Mangroves. Zoological Society of London.4-36 pp. [www.zsl.org](http://www.zsl.org)
16. Primavera J. H. (2000). Development and conservation of Philippine mangroves: institutional issues. *Ecological Economics* 35:91-106.
17. Richards, D. R., & Friess, D. A. (2016). Rates and drivers of mangrove deforestation in Southeast Asia, 2000-2012. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113(2), 344–349. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1510272113>
18. Raunkiaer, C. (1934). *The Life forms of Plants and Statistical Geography*. Clarendon, Oxford, Pp.632
19. Sitorus, H., Lesmana, I., Tarigan, R., & Hasan Sitorus, C. (2017). Relationship of mangrove density with fish diversity in the waters of mangrove area at Lubuk Kertang Village, Langkat District of North Sumatera. ~ 266 ~ *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*, 5(5), 266–271. [www.fisheriesjournal.com](http://www.fisheriesjournal.com)

20. Soares, M. L. G. (2009). A Conceptual Model for the Responses of Mangrove Forests to Sea Level Rise. In *Source: Journal of Coastal Research: Vol. I* (Issue 56). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/25737579?seq=1&cid=pdf->
21. Tomlinson, P.B. (1986): The Botany of mangroves. Cambridge University Press