Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities
eISSN: 2589-7799
2024 June; 7 (6): 525-530

Using Ai for student's evaluation: Opportunities and Challenges

Girijesh Mishra[1], Dr. Kashi Nath Pandey[2], Dr. Rohini Tripathi[3], Rakesh Kumar Singh[4], Dr. Kashi Nath Pandey[5*]

[1]M.Ed. Scholar, Mahatma Gandhi Kashi Vidyapith, Varanasi. GAMIL ID: girijesh00121@gmail.com
[2]Ph. D., Department of Psychology, MGKVP, Varanasi, U.P. India GAMIL ID: knpandey100@gmail.com
[3]Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, SVM Science and Technology P. G. College, Lalganj, Pratapgarh, U.P. India GMAIL ID: shuklarohini20@gmail.com
[4]Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, MGKVP, Varanasi, U.P., India GMAIL ID: rkvns565@gmail.com
[5*]Ph. D., Department of Psychology, MGKVP, Varanasi, U.P. India GAMIL ID: knpandey100@gmail.com

Abstract:

This study examines the philosophical, psychological, and administrative aspects of integrating artificial intelligence (AI) for student assessment and evaluation in India's higher education system. Through qualitative interviews with educators at an urban university, the research explores AI's potential to enhance fairness and efficiency in grading while also investigating concerns about its limitations in assessing creativity and critical thinking. The psychological impacts on students and teachers are analyzed, including AI's ability to provide rapid feedback but potential lack of emotional engagement. Administrative challenges like the digital divide, costs, and ethical issues around data privacy and algorithmic bias are also evaluated. While AI offers significant opportunities to streamline assessment processes and provide personalized learning, its implementation faces obstacles related to infrastructure, training, and equitable access, particularly in rural areas. The study concludes that thoughtful integration of AI, with clear ethical guidelines, is needed to leverage its benefits while preserving essential human elements of education. This research contributes localized insights on AI adoption in India's diverse educational landscape to inform policy and practice.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, Student assessment, Higher education, Integration of AI, Impact of AI

Introduction

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the education system, especially in the area of student assessment and evaluation, has started a significant number of debates in the last decade, showing their concern about its potential advantages, challenges, and ethical dilemmas. As almost every educational institution in India is continuously embracing AI-driven technologies, it is crucial to consider the ways in which these innovative tools can restructure and upgrade assessment and evaluation methods (Chattopadhyay et al., 2018)**.** AI has the capability to really change the way traditional assessments and evaluation strategies work by offering better personalized learning, enabling real-time feedback, and streamlining administrative tasks, thereby allowing educators to focus more on teaching and less on grading. However, this new development is not without its challenges. It raises concerns about fairness, bias, and transparency, which require a thorough examination of the algorithms and datasets on which a specific AI system is being operated for the evaluation and assessment of the student (Luckin, 2017). Moreover, the effect of AI-integration is not only limited to efficiency but also extends to the fundamental principles of equity and access. It is imperative to ensure that AI applications do not perpetuate existing disparities among students from diverse backgrounds. As new studies explore the transformative potential of AI in educational assessment and evaluation, academics must advocate setting up ethical guidelines to ensure inclusivity and accountability. This requires collective efforts from educators, technologists, and policymakers to create a shared vision that accepts and celebrates diversity while harnessing the Power of AI (Berendt et al., 2020).

The philosophical view of AI-integration into education questions previous beliefs regarding the human-centered aspect of education. Conventional assessment methods based on human insight and intuition are currently being enhanced by various AI-powered technologies, such as chatbots, which offer objectivity, efficiency, and flexibility (Braiki et al. 2020). On the psychological side, bringing AI into education impacts both students and teachers. For students, AI-driven assessments provide quick feedback and individualized learning paths, which can make them more independent and boost their self-management and motivation skills. However, the absence of a personal touch in machine-based assessments might negatively affect motivation and emotional connection in learning. AI is both a chance and a hurdle for educators—while it can lighten the load of administrative tasks, it also means getting used to new tools, worries about losing professional freedom and sidelining the importance of human judgment in teaching-learning process (Dignum, 2021). The transition from traditional methods to AI-based assessments has some advantages, along with some obstacles from an administrative perspective. AI has the potential to enhance the grading efficiency, deliver insightful

data, and boost overall productivity. Nonetheless, effectively integrating AI within educational settings necessitates strong infrastructure, sufficient training for teachers, and ethical guidelines to protect students' information (Owan et al., 2023), especially In India, where there is a marked disparity in technological access and educational resources, and the administrative challenges are considerable.

Research aim of the study

This study aims to examine the philosophical, psychological, and administrative aspects of the Integration of AI for grading, assessing, and evaluating students in India. It seeks to see how AI fits with educational values, how it affects both students and teachers mentally, and what administrative hurdles and opportunities it brings. By focusing on these three aspects, this study aims to provide a well-rounded view of how AI can be thoughtfully woven into India's education system.

Research Objectives

- To find out the role of AI-integration in ensuring fairness and efficiency of student's assessment and evaluation.
- To find out the psychological impact of integration of AI into student's assessment on teachers as well as students.
- To find out the administrative challenges and opportunities of AI-integration into student's assessment and evaluation.

Research Questions

- How does AI influence the fairness and efficiency of student evaluation in India?
- What are the psychological effects of AI-driven evaluations on students and educators?
- What are the administrative challenges and opportunities of integration of AI India's education system?

Importance of the Study

As India initiated some major educational reforms through the National Education Policy (NEP)- 2020, this research emerges as not just timely but also essential. It explores the profound philosophical, psychological, and administrative impacts of incorporation of AI into student evaluation. This will significantly enrich the ongoing dialogue on how technology can revolutionize educational methodologies. This study adopted a qualitative framework by conducting semi-structured interviews with the educators. The insights gained are especially critical in India's resource-challenged and diverse educational environment, where AI presents both remarkable opportunities and formidable challenges in advancing the evaluation processes.

This study looks at higher education, pulling insights from an urban university (Mahatma Gandhi Kashi Vidyapith, Varanasi, India), but it also shows that there are some technological gaps and infrastructure issues in rural areas. By examining these both, this research aims to provide a well-rounded view of how AI can be brought into India's education system in a responsible way.

Review of Literature

Philosophical Foundations of AI in Education

AI's application in education brings philosophical debates about the role of human agency, nature of knowledge, and ethical implications of machine learning in shaping educational experiences. The main question in debate is whether machines can truly understand and replicate human thought processes, especially in areas requiring critical thinking, creativity, and emotional intelligence, or if they merely simulate understanding through algorithms and data processing. Pedro (2020) argues that while AI is capable of grading Factual scores, is limited in its ability to assess deeper cognitive skills that involve subjective interpretation and personal insight, which are essential for holistic education, Particularly in Subjective areas.

These philosophical debates also raise worries about the mechanistic view of education that AI encourages. Some academics, such as Luckin (2017), point out that even though AI can make certain tasks more standardized and automated, it might end up turning education into just a bunch of data, missing out on the more human parts of learning such as emotional growth and moral development. While AI excels in understanding patterns and generating responses, it struggles to grasp the nuances of human experiences that drive creativity and emotional expression. It can perform better and efficient grading of objective questions, but it falls short when it comes to assessing subjective work, such as essays or artistic projects, where personal insight, critical thinking, and emotional depth are crucial (Boden, 1997). This is consistent with the findings of this study, where participants shared their concerns about the philosophical side of depending only on AI for evaluating students.

Psychological impact of AI in student evaluation

From a psychological perspective, AI has both positive and negative effects on students and educators. Research by Luckin et al. (2016) shows that AI-driven evaluations can provide instant, personalized feedback that supports students' self-regulation and motivation. By receiving timely insights into their strengths and weaknesses, students can take more ownership of their learning journey, thus enhancing their intrinsic motivation. However, there are also concerns that heavy dependence on AI may diminish critical thinking skills, as students might become overly dependent on technology for guidance, rather than developing their own analytical abilities (Seo et al., 2021). Moreover, the potential for bias in AI algorithms raises ethical questions about fairness in evaluation, as certain groups may be disadvantaged by the data on which these systems are trained. However, the literature also cautions against the lack of emotional engagement in AI- driven assessments. Although AI can efficiently deliver feedback, it lacks the capacity to provide emotional support and encouragement, which are crucial for maintaining student engagement and motivation (Dhawan & Batra, 2021).

For educators, AI has been praised for reducing the administrative burden of grading and providing them with more time for creative teaching strategies (Ramlakhan et al., 2022). However, some educators also feel a loss of professional autonomy when AI takes over the evaluation process. Khosravi (2022) notes that teachers may feel their expertise is undermined by the increasing reliance on AI, a sentiment that was mirrored by participants in this study This tension between efficiency and professional identity raises important questions about the future role of educators in an increasingly automated educational landscape As schools/Universities integrate more technology, finding a balance between leveraging AI for efficiency and preserving the essential human elements of teaching will be crucial (Kadam et al.) in fostering meaningful connections with students and maintaining a supportive learning environment.

Administrative challenges and opportunities

From an admin point of view, AI brings a mix of perks and hurdles to educational systems (Woolf et al., 2013). The word efficiency continues to pop up in discussions, with AI tools getting a lot of love for making grading easier and helping educational institutions manage big groups of students' way better (Popenici & Kerr, 2017). This fits well with what the researcher found in his study, where participants mentioned how AI can quickly and accurately sort through large amounts of student data, which is very handy in big universities that do not have enough trained faculty or human resources. In addition, adding AI can boost personalized learning, allowing teachers to customize their methods to suit each student's needs, which ultimately helps everyone perform better academically (González-Calatayud).

However, the literature also indicates significant administrative challenges, particularly in India. The digital divide remains a major obstacle to AI adoption, and most rural areas lack the technological infrastructure necessary for effective AI implementation (Dubey et al., 2022). Participants in this study also highlighted this point, most of them said that institutions in rural or under-resourced areas will struggle to implement AI-driven systems because of their limited access to high-speed internet and advanced technology.

In addition to infrastructural challenges, the ethical concerns associated with artificial intelligence, such as data confidentiality and algorithmic prejudice, are extensively documented in academic literature (Khosravi, 2022). AI systems are significantly dependent on extensive datasets, prompting inquiries regarding the utilization of such data and the adequacy of student privacy protection. Moreover, biases inherent in AI algorithms can result in unfair outcomes, particularly if the training datasets fail to accurately represent diverse student demographics (LeCun et al. 2015).

Gaps in the literature

Although research on AI in education is growing, there remains a notable lack of empirical studies that specifically address the long-term impact of AI-integration on student learning outcomes and equity in educational settings.

Although research on the integration and impact of AI in education is growing, there are several gaps in the literature that this study seeks to address. First, while many studies focus on the technical capabilities of AI, a few of them explored the philosophical implications of AI replacing human evaluators. This study adds to the literature by examining how educators perceive the shift toward AI-driven assessments, particularly in relation to human judgment and creativity in evaluation. This research contributes to the existing body of literature by ensuring a deep dive into teachers' perceptions regarding the transition towards AI-facilitated students' assessments and grading, especially in the context of human Judgement and creativity in the evaluative process.

Second, the psychological impact of artificial intelligence on both students and educators, especially concerning emotional involvement, requires further investigation. Although research conducted by Luckin et al. (2017) and Dhawan and Batra (2021) addressed the motivational advantages associated with AI feedback, this study offers novel perspectives on the emotional disconnection that may arise from AI-generated feedback, as evidenced by the sentiments expressed by participants.

Finally, this study contributes to the administrators of educational institutions by focusing on the specific challenges and opportunities to implement AI in Indian educational institutions, particularly in relation to infrastructural disparities and ethical concerns. While most studies across the world have focused on the potential of AI in education (Hamal et al.,2022), this research addresses the unique challenges and opportunities of AI-integration in the Indian context, offering a localized

perspective that is often missing from broader discussions of AI in education.

Research Methodology

This study uses a qualitative research framework to examine the philosophical, psychological, and administrative perspectives of using artificial intelligence in the assessment of students within the context of the Indian educational framework. A purposive sampling technique was employed to select 15 individuals from diverse educational specializations (under one university, MGKVP). Data were gathered using a self-made, expert-reviewed semi-structured interview schedule that concentrated on the participants' perspectives regarding the influence of artificial intelligence on evaluation and assessment practices. A thematic analysis of the collected data facilitated the identification of significant themes that were more relevant to the research objectives.

Results and Discussion

Theme 1: The role of Ai in ensuring fairness and efficiency of student assessment

Most participants agreed that AI could significantly improve the fairness of student assessments. It can easily minimize human bias and maintain consistency, particularly in large classroom settings. In a large classroom, providing individual attention is difficult for human educators. One educator pointed out, "AI has the ability to grade without bias, unlike humans who may unknowingly allow their biases to influence the scores they assign. This is particularly advantageous when evaluating hundreds of students." This aligns with the general view of various scholars that AI-based evaluations present a chance to tackle the ongoing challenges related to subjectivity and inconsistency in human grading.

Apart from the above, a few participants also expressed concerns about AI's inability to assess a student's creativity and critical thinking. These domains often require subtle human judgment. One educator commented, "AI excels at grading objective responses, but it will fail completely when faced with creative or analytical tasks. For instance, it cannot grasp the rationale behind a student's argument in an essay or appreciate the creative aspects of a project." This underscores the prevalent belief that, although AI can improve fairness in objective technical evaluations, it may not adequately address areas where subjective judgment is essential.

Once again, regarding efficiency, AI was unanimously commended for accelerating the grading process and delivering immediate feedback. It was accepted as highly useful, especially in large educational institutions where the sheer volume of assessment of projects, assignments, and homework can overwhelm the faculty. One respondent remarked, "Thanks to AI, in the future, we can handle vast quantities of papers in a fraction of the time it would take to do so manually. Grading assignments take weeks now-a-days, but after AI's integration, we will receive results within days." Another participant added, "Instant feedback is among AI's most significant benefits. Students no longer had to wait for weeks to learn how well they did and what areas of their expected improvement are. It will greatly impact their ability to make improvements." The efficiency provided by AI not only alleviates the administrative load, but also enriches the learning experience by offering students timely and actionable feedback.

Theme 2: Psychological impact of AI's integration on students and educators

Another key theme that emerged was the psychological impact of the AI-driven evaluations. Participants noted that students generally appreciated the instant feedback provided by the AI systems. This helps them to track their progress more effectively. One educator shared, "Students like the immediate feedback because they can see their mistakes right away and make improvements before their next assignment. It keeps them motivated to learn and improve continuously." This suggests that AI systems may promote self-regulated learning, whereby students become more independent and proactive in managing their educational progress.

However, some educators have pointed out that the lack of emotional engagement in AI-driven feedback can negatively impact students. As one participant explained, "While the feedback is quick, it's very mechanical. Students need more than just technical advice—they need encouragement, and that's something only a human teacher can provide." This reflects the view that AI, while efficient, lacks the human touch needed to emotionally support students, which can be crucial in maintaining their motivation and engagement in the learning process.

However, for educators, the psychological impacts were mixed. On the one hand, AI reduces the administrative burden of grading, allowing teachers to focus more on creative and interactive teaching strategies. One teacher noted, "AI will take a huge load off our shoulders. Grading are used to take up so much time, and after AI's integration we can focus on more important aspects of teaching, like designing engaging lessons." This suggests that AI can improve job satisfaction by allowing educators to dedicate more time to pedagogical activities than administrative tasks.

On the other hand, several participants expressed concerns about the loss of professional autonomy. One educator remarked, "There's a fear that AI is starting to take over our jobs. We know our students better than any machine can, and there are things we can assess—like effort and improvement—that AI can't pick up on." This highlights the tension between the convenience offered by AI and the potential erosion of teachers' roles as evaluators. Educators were concerned that over-

reliance on AI could lead to a diminished sense of control over the assessment process and devaluation of their professional expertise.

Theme3. Administrative challenges and opportunities

The administrative opportunities presented by AI will be particularly beneficial in streamlining institutional processes and managing large volumes of data. One teacher explained, "AI will help us manage vast amounts of student data in ways that would have been impossible manually. It won't just grade papers—it can track performance trends over time, identify at-risk students, and even predict who might need extra support." This indicates that AI offers far-reaching administrative benefits beyond grading, helping institutions make informed decisions about student support and resource allocation. However, participants identified several administrative challenges. The most prominent issue was the digital divide in India, with rural and under resourced institutions facing significant barriers to implementing AI systems. One administrator shared, "Many of our students come from areas where internet access, and having High end devices is a challenge, and without reliable digital infrastructure, it's impossible to implement AI on a wide scale." This disparity in access to technology creates a fundamental obstacle to AI adoption, particularly in rural areas where institutions may lack the resources to invest in sophisticated AI tools.

The cost of implementing an AI system is another major concern. One participant explained, "AI requires a significant upfront investment—not just in the software, but in training staff to use it effectively. For many institutions, especially smaller ones, this is a big hurdle." This sentiment reflects the broader challenge of balancing the potential long-term benefits of AI with the immediate financial and logistical constraints that many institutions face.

In addition to infrastructural and financial barriers, participants raised concerns about ethical issues, particularly regarding data privacy and algorithmic bias. One educator expressed, "The amount of data AI collects is huge, and there are real concerns about how this data is being used. We need strict policies in place to ensure students' privacy is protected." Another participant noted, "AI algorithms can be biased, especially if they're trained on data that doesn't represent all students equally. This could lead to unfair evaluations, particularly for students from minority or disadvantaged backgrounds." These concerns underscore the importance of developing clear ethical guidelines to ensure that AI is implemented in a manner that is both secure and equitable.

Concluding remarks

The integration of AI in student evaluation is a sword with two sharp edges, providing both significant benefits and obstacles for the Indian educational system. where on the one hand, AI can exceptionally improve the speed, fairness, and uniformity of grading by minimizing human bias and providing individualized, rapid feedback to students, fostering self-regulated learning. It also reduces the administrative burden on humans (teachers), allowing them to concentrate on creative and pedagogical activities. However, the philosophical, psychological, and administrative limits and challenges of AI-integration cannot be disregarded. AI's limits in measuring creativity, critical thinking, and emotional engagement raise questions about its ability to completely replace human judgment in evaluation procedures. Additionally, the lack of an emotional connection in AI-driven feedback may diminish student motivation, while educators fear a loss of professional autonomy.

Furthermore, administrative challenges in India, especially the digital divide and infrastructural gaps in rural areas, pose significant barriers to equitable AI implementation. To guarantee that assessments powered by AI do not reinforce current educational disparities, ethical issues such as algorithmic bias and data privacy must also be carefully considered. To effectively harness the potential of AI while addressing its limits, a balanced strategy is required, one that stresses ethical AI use, improves technology accessibility, and preserves the fundamental human parts of teaching and learning. Collaboration among policymakers, educators, and technologists is critical for crafting a future in which AI may supplement, rather than replace, the human parts of education.

Reference

1. Agarwal, P., & Vij, A. (2024). Assessing the challenges and opportunities of artificial intelligence in Indian education. *International Journal for Global Academic & Scientific Research, 3*(1), 36–44. https://doi.org/10.55938/ijgasr.v3i1.71
2. Baker, T., & Smith, L. (2019). The role of AI in education. *Journal of Educational Technology*, 10(3), 9-16.
3. Berendt, B., Littlejohn, A., & Blakemore, M. (2020). AI in Education: Learner Choice and Fundamental Rights. *Learning, Media and Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1786399
4. Braiki, B., Harous, S., Zaki, N., & Alnajjar, F. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education and assessment methods. *Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics*. https://doi.org/10.11591/EEI.V9I5.1984
5. Chattopadhyay, S., Shankar, S., Gangadhar, R. B., & Kasinathan, K. (2018). *Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Assessment for Learning in Schools*. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1- 5225-2953-8.CH010
6. Dhawan, S., & Batra, V. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education: Opportunities and challenges for higher education. *Education Technology Journal*, 19(2), 100-112.

7. Dignum, V. (2021). The role and challenges of education for responsible AI. *London Review of Education*. https://doi.org/10.14324/LRE.19.1.01
8. Dubey, G., Hasan, M., & Alam, A. (2022). Artificial intelligence and Indian education system: Promising applications, potential effectiveness, and challenges. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Education*, 12(3), 45-58.
9. González-Calatayud, V., Prendes-Espinosa, P., & Roig-Vila, R. (n.d.).
10. Hamal, O., El Faddouli, N., Alaoui Harouni, M. H., & Lu, J. (2022). Artificial Intelligent in Education. *Sustainability*. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052862
11. Janiesch, C., Zschech, P., & Heinrich, K. (2021). Machine learning and deep learning in education: Opportunities and challenges. *AI & Society*, 35(2), 33-46.
12. Khosravi, H. (2022). Explainable artificial intelligence in education: Enhancing transparency and accountability. *Computers & Education*, 174(1), 104-123.
13. LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, 521, 436-444.
14. Luckin, R. (2017). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*. Pearson Education.
15. Luckin, R. (2017). Towards artificial intelligence-based assessment systems. *Nature Human Behaviour*. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41562-016-0028
16. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education. *Pearson Report*.
17. Marcia, Håkansson, Lindqvist., Catarina, Arvidsson. (2024). 2. Exploring Student and AI Generated Texts: Reflections on Reflection Texts. Electronic Journal of e-Learning, doi: 10.34190/ejel.22.6.3473
18. Miao, F., Holmes, W., & Huang, R. (2021). *Artificial intelligence and education: Guidance for policy makers*. UNESCO.
19. Mishra, A., & Yadav, P. (2023). Introduction of artificial intelligence to revolutionize the Indian education system: A buzz or reality? *Indian Journal of Education and Development*, 2(1), 1-6.
20. Owan, V. J., Idika, D. O., & Bassey, B. A. (2023). Exploring the potential of artificial intelligence tools in educational measurement and assessment. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*. https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/13428
21. Pedro, F. (2020). AI and education: New horizons for learning. *International Journal of Learning Technologies*, 14(1), 28-41.
22. Popenici, S., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 16(2), 56-70.
23. Rajan, K. et al. (2024). 1. Crafting Tomorrow's Evaluations: Assessment Design Strategies in the Era of Generative AI. doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2405.01805
24. Rajan, R. (2020). Shikshak prashikshan sansthon men shikshak prashikshakon ka kritrim budhdhi ke sandarbh me jagrukta ka adhdhyayan. *Indian Educational Research Journal*, 14(2), 34-49
25. Ramlakhan, R., Nasir, M., & Glover, M. (2022). Understanding and interpreting artificial intelligence, machine learning, and deep learning in education. *Computers & Education*, 123, 112-129.
26. Seo, K., Tang, J., Roll, I., Fels, S., & Yoon, D. (2021). The impact of artificial intelligence on learner-instructor interaction in online learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*. https://doi.org/10.1186/S41239-021-00292-9
27. Woolf, B. P., Lane, H. C., Chaudhri, V. K., & Kolodner, J. L. (2013). AI Grand Challenges for Education. *Ai Magazine*. https://doi.org/10.1609/AIMAG.V34I4.2490