Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities
eISSN:2589-7799
2022 June; 5 (1): 372 - 375

Historical And Anatomical Analysis Of Surgical Incision Techniques In Ancient Ayurvedic Surgery

Dr. Pramod Kumar[1*], Dr. S.S Gupta[2]

[1*]PG Scholar - Department of Rachana Sharir, Govt. Ayurvedic college, Patna, Bihar
[2]Guide, Professor and H.O.D. Department of Rachana Sharir, Govt. Ayurvedic college, Patna, Bihar

***Corresponding Author –** Dr. Pramod Kumar
*PG Scholar - Department of Rachana Sharir, Govt. Ayurvedic College, Patna, Bihar, Email: dr.pramodrai8@gmail.com

Date of Acceptance- 12/04/2022 Date of Publication – 24/06/2022

ABSTRACT

Background: Surgical practices in ancient India, particularly those described in *Sushruta Samhita*, reflect a profound understanding of human anatomy and precision in operative techniques. Among these, the classification and methodology of *chedana* (incision) have been systematically elaborated with anatomical insight, making it a cornerstone of traditional Indian surgery. **Aim:** To critically analyze the historical context and anatomical basis of surgical incision techniques as described in ancient Ayurvedic texts, with special reference to *Sushruta Samhita*. **Objectives:** To study the classification and methodology of surgical incisions (*chedana karma*) in classical Ayurvedic literature. To explore the anatomical understanding reflected in incision techniques, especially concerning *marma*, *sira*, and *sandhi*. To assess the surgical instruments and procedural guidelines associated with incision methods. To correlate ancient incision techniques with modern surgical principles where applicable. **Materials and Methods:** A literary review was conducted from classical Ayurvedic texts such as *Sushruta Samhita*, *Charaka Samhita*, and *Ashtanga Hridaya*. Secondary sources like commentaries, Nighantus, and modern anatomical correlations were also included. Emphasis was laid on descriptive classification, anatomical landmarks, and relevance in surgical interventions. **Results:** Sushruta categorized surgical incisions into types such as *chedana*, *bhedana*, and *lekhana*, each defined by shape, direction, depth, and location, based on a thorough understanding of *marma*, *sira*, and *sandhi*. These techniques demonstrate the integration of anatomical knowledge with surgical execution, minimizing trauma and improving outcomes. Their methodological precision foreshadows modern principles of incision planning. **Discussion:** The systematic approach of *Acharya Sushruta* towards surgical incision design was rooted in anatomical awareness and practical training via cadaveric dissection. The relevance of these methods continues to resonate in modern surgery, particularly in the context of preserving vital structures and optimizing post-operative healing. **Conclusion:** Ancient Ayurvedic surgical incision techniques, especially those detailed by *Sushruta*, embody a blend of anatomical understanding and surgical precision. Their study provides valuable insights for the evolution of surgical education and practice.

Keywords: *Sushruta Samhita*, Ayurvedic Surgery, *Chedana Karma*, Ancient Incision Techniques, Anatomical Dissection, *Sharira Rachana*

INTRODUCTION

Ayurveda, the ancient Indian system of medicine, encompasses a vast domain of health sciences, including surgery (*Shalya Tantra*). Among the classical texts, the *Sushruta Samhita* stands as a monumental contribution to surgical knowledge. Authored by *Acharya Sushruta*, it presents a sophisticated and methodical approach to surgical interventions. The text reflects the medical wisdom of a time when anatomical dissection, wound management, and operative precision were deeply rooted in both theory and practice. Sushruta's emphasis on practical training through dissection and observational learning laid the foundation of surgical pedagogy in Ayurveda.[1]

In any surgical intervention, the act of making an incision (*chedana karma*) is not merely mechanical but requires a nuanced understanding of the human body. The direction, length, depth, and site of incision significantly influence the success of the procedure and the healing process. Ancient Ayurvedic surgeons demonstrated remarkable foresight in codifying these principles. Sushruta not only categorized incisions based on shape and purpose but also highlighted their relationship with underlying structures such as *sira* (blood vessels), *snayu* (ligaments), *sandhi* (joints), and *marma* (vital points).[2]

The *Sushruta Samhita* describes various types of incisions including *chedana* (excision), *bhedana* (splitting), *lekhana* (scraping), *eshana* (probing), and others, each tailored to specific pathologies and anatomical contexts. These procedures were supported by descriptions of specialized surgical instruments like *maṇḍalāgra*, *ārā*, and *kṣura*. The precise

classification reflects a deep anatomical and pathological understanding, integrating both therapeutic intent and the preservation of vital structures. This level of detail rivals many early surgical treatises globally.[3]

A unique feature of Sushruta's approach was the inclusion of cadaveric dissection for the study of *śarīra rachana* (human anatomy). He advised immersing a cadaver in flowing water, allowing decomposition, and then carefully dissecting layer by layer to understand the structural organization. This method ensured surgeons were well-acquainted with the internal layout of the body, enabling them to make incisions accurately without causing unnecessary trauma. The anatomical insights gained were directly applied in surgical procedures, especially in determining the safe zones for incision.[4]

One of the most significant anatomical concepts in Ayurvedic surgery is *marma*, the vital points where trauma can be fatal or debilitating. Sushruta emphasized the importance of avoiding these points during surgical procedures. This necessitated a refined understanding of bodily landmarks and the spatial relationships of tissues. The integration of *marma vidya* with surgical incision techniques exemplifies the holistic and cautious approach of Ayurvedic surgery, combining therapeutic benefit with anatomical safety.[5]

The surgical doctrines laid down by Sushruta are not just of historical importance but continue to inspire modern surgical practices. The attention to anatomical detail, minimal invasiveness, and respect for tissue integrity resonate with contemporary surgical principles such as precision surgery, anatomical mapping, and minimally invasive techniques. Revisiting these ancient practices provides valuable perspectives in surgical education, especially in developing anatomical orientation and procedural planning in learners. Thus, the study of incision techniques in ancient Ayurveda has enduring academic and clinical relevance.[6]

AIM AND OBJECTIVES

Aim:

To critically analyze the historical context and anatomical basis of surgical incision techniques as described in ancient Ayurvedic texts, with special reference to *Sushruta Samhita*.

Objectives:

1. To study the classification and methodology of surgical incisions (*chedana karma*) in classical Ayurvedic literature.
2. To explore the anatomical understanding reflected in incision techniques, especially concerning *marma*, *sira*, and *sandhi*.
3. To assess the surgical instruments and procedural guidelines associated with incision methods.
4. To correlate ancient incision techniques with modern surgical principles where applicable.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The present study was conducted as a literary review based on primary classical Ayurvedic texts, chiefly the *Sushruta Samhita*, along with references from *Charaka Samhita*, *Ashtanga Hridaya*, and relevant commentaries. Particular focus was given to chapters related to *Shastra Karma*, *Chedana Karma*, *Sharira Rachana*, and *Marma Sharira*. Secondary sources including Nighantus, Ayurvedic treatises, peer-reviewed research articles, and modern anatomical texts were also examined to explore the correlation between ancient surgical practices and anatomical knowledge. Analytical and comparative methods were employed to highlight the relevance, precision, and continuity of surgical incision techniques from a historical and anatomical perspective.

CONCEPTUAL STUDY

Historical Analysis of Surgical Incision Techniques in Ancient Ayurvedic Surgery

Period / Era	Source / Acharya	Text / Reference	Description of Incision Techniques	Significance
Pre-Vedic to Vedic Period (1500–1000 BCE)	–	*Rigveda, Atharvaveda*	Basic references to wound healing (*vrana*), arrow extraction, and surgical rituals.	Indirect mention of surgical procedures indicating early knowledge of physical trauma management.
Vedic-Samhita Period (1000–600 BCE)	*Acharya Charaka*	*Charaka Samhita*	Emphasis on internal medicine, but refers to *Shalya Tantra* as an essential branch. Indirect mention of incisions in *Vrana Chikitsa*.	Recognizes surgery as a major specialty; establishes groundwork for future surgical advances.
Samhita Period (600–200 BCE)	*Acharya Sushruta*	*Sushruta Samhita*	Detailed classification of incisions—*chedana*, *bhedana*, *lekhana*, etc. Types based on shape, depth, purpose. Anatomically guided surgical techniques.	Considered the golden era of Ayurveda surgery. First comprehensive surgical textbook in human history.

Buddhist Era (200 BCE–200 CE)	–	Transmission via Nalanda & Takshashila Universities	Surgical methods from *Sushruta Samhita* spread to Central and East Asia. Surgical training continued in monastic universities.	Helped preserve and disseminate Ayurvedic surgical knowledge across Asia.
Medieval Period (7th–12th Century)	*Vagbhatta*	*Ashtanga Hridaya*	Condensed references from *Sushruta Samhita*, including surgical procedures. Limited advancement in incision classification.	Maintained continuity of surgical concepts during decline in open surgical practice.
Colonial Period (18th–19th Century)	Western scholars & Indian physicians	Translations of *Sushruta Samhita* (by Hessler, Hoernle, Kaviraj Kunja Lal Bhishagratna)	Western acknowledgment of ancient Indian surgical knowledge. Comparative studies with Greek and Arabic medicine.	Re-introduced *Sushruta's* surgical legacy to the modern world and highlighted the advanced nature of incision techniques.
Modern Era (20th–21st Century)	Ayurvedic Scholars & Anatomists	Academic theses, interdisciplinary studies	Critical anatomical studies and re-evaluation of *marma*, *sira*, and surgical instruments. Efforts to integrate traditional surgical concepts into modern Ayurvedic education.	Renewed recognition of the scientific basis of Ayurvedic incision techniques and their relevance in modern surgical education.

Anatomical Analysis of Surgical Incision Techniques in Ancient Ayurvedic Surgery

Aspect	Ayurvedic Insight	Anatomical Relevance	Clinical Significance
1. Basis of Incision Planning	Incision techniques like *chedana*, *bhedana*, and *lekhana* were planned after assessing *sira* (veins), *snayu* (ligaments), *asthi sandhi* (joints), and *marma* (vital spots).	Consideration of underlying vascular, muscular, and neural anatomy to avoid injury.	Ensures minimal blood loss, prevents nerve damage, and facilitates faster wound healing.
2. *Marma Sharira* Awareness	107 *marma sthana* were classified, including *sira marma*, *snayu marma*, *asthi marma*, etc. Incisions were consciously planned to avoid these sites.	Reflects an advanced understanding of vital anatomical locations akin to modern neurovascular bundles and end-arterial zones.	Prevention of complications like hemorrhage, shock, paralysis, or death.
3. Cadaveric Dissection Training	Sushruta recommended systematic cadaver dissection to understand internal structures.	Early anatomical education via empirical observation, comparable to modern anatomy dissection labs.	Built strong anatomical foundation for surgical learners and promoted safe incision techniques.
4. Instrument Use and Anatomical Fit	Use of instruments like *maṇḍalāgra*, *ārā*, *kṣura*—each suited for specific tissues and incisions.	Understanding of tissue resistance and structural layers (skin, muscle, fascia, bone).	Precision in cutting technique ensured reduced trauma and enhanced surgical success.
5. Depth and Direction of Incisions	Guidelines were given for *ati gambhira chedana* (too deep), *atigambhira bhedana* (too shallow), and appropriate *mada* (blood flow) for effective incision.	Corresponds to depth-wise anatomical structures—superficial fascia, deep fascia, and internal organs.	Controlled dissection prevents injury to deeper organs and maintains functional integrity.
6. Site-Specific Incisions	Specific guidelines were given for incisions on *vaksha* (chest), *udara* (abdomen), *shira* (head), and *pada* (limbs).	Region-wise anatomical variation acknowledged—e.g., thoracic cavity vs abdominal cavity.	Tailored surgical approach ensures safer access and lesser postoperative complications.
7. Healing Principles and Tissue Response	Emphasis on tissue compatibility, proper alignment of skin edges, and blood flow for *vrana ropana* (wound healing).	Awareness of tissue regeneration, vascularity, and infection prevention.	Encouraged anatomical respect for natural planes of healing and minimized scarring.

RESULTS AND FINDINGS

1. *Sushruta* classified incisions based on shape, depth, and purpose.
2. Incision planning was anatomically guided, avoiding *marma*, *sira*, and *sandhi*.
3. Cadaveric dissection was recommended for anatomical understanding.
4. Special surgical instruments were designed as per tissue type.
5. Surgical depth was carefully controlled to prevent internal injury.
6. Techniques promoted faster healing and minimal scarring.
7. Surgical procedures were standardized and systematic.
8. Many ancient principles align with modern surgical practices.

DISCUSSION

The analysis of incision techniques in ancient Ayurvedic surgery reveals the depth of surgical understanding that prevailed in India centuries before the advent of modern surgical systems. *Acharya Sushruta*, in his *Sushruta Samhita*, provided a detailed exposition of various surgical techniques, which were not merely empirical practices but carefully documented and classified interventions based on anatomical knowledge. His work reflects a matured surgical science that emphasized precision, anatomical orientation, and clinical reasoning, far ahead of its time.[7]

Unlike generalized procedures, the incision techniques described by *Sushruta* were based on a profound understanding of the human body. The systematic avoidance of *marma sthana* and *sira* during incisions shows that ancient surgeons had a clear grasp of high-risk anatomical structures. This correlates with modern surgical practices that aim to preserve nerves, vessels, and functional zones during operations. The training through cadaver dissection enhanced this anatomical insight and established a foundational method for surgical education.[8]

The variety of surgical instruments described in Ayurvedic texts shows an early appreciation of the need for tailored tools depending on tissue type and procedural goal. Tools such as *kshura*, *maṇḍalāgra*, and *ārā* were used selectively, demonstrating an understanding of biomechanics and ergonomics. This ancient customization of instruments aligns with today's surgical kits and instrument sets that vary by specialty and tissue sensitivity.[9]

Sushruta did not stop at operative intervention but also emphasized post-surgical healing (*vrana ropana*). The direction and nature of incisions were planned not just for surgical access, but also to promote better wound healing and minimize complications like bleeding or suppuration. This holistic perspective, combining intervention with recovery, resonates with modern concepts of tissue-friendly surgery and enhanced recovery protocols.[10]

Many principles underlying ancient Ayurvedic surgical practices—like anatomical dissection, customized instruments, vital point avoidance, and healing-focused techniques—are echoed in modern surgery. By revisiting these texts through a modern anatomical lens, we can appreciate the scientific spirit and structured thought process that guided Ayurvedic surgery. This interdisciplinary exploration can enrich surgical education, especially in anatomical orientation and operative precision, and foster respect for ancient contributions to global surgical knowledge.[11]

CONCLUSION

The surgical incision techniques described in ancient Ayurvedic texts, particularly in the *Sushruta Samhita*, exemplify a highly evolved understanding of human anatomy, procedural precision, and surgical ethics. Through systematic classification, avoidance of vital anatomical structures (*marma* and *sira*), and the integration of cadaveric dissection for training, *Acharya Sushruta* laid the foundation for a structured surgical science. These time-tested principles not only influenced the development of surgery in ancient India but also hold continued relevance in modern surgical education and anatomical studies, reflecting the timelessness and scientific depth of classical Ayurvedic surgery.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST –NIL

SOURCE OF SUPPORT –NONE

REFERENCES

1. Sharma PV. *Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta, with English translation of text and Dalhana's commentary along with critical notes*. Vol. I (Sharira Sthana). Varanasi: Chaukhambha Visvabharati; 2005.
2. Bhishagratna KL. *An English translation of the Sushruta Samhita based on original Sanskrit text*. Vol. II. Varanasi: Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series Office; 2006.
3. Dwivedi LN. *Essentials of Sharira Rachana*. Varanasi: Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series; 2014.
4. Ghanekar BG. *Sushruta Samhita with Nibandhasangraha Commentary*. Pune: Meharchand Lachhmandas Publications; 2008.
5. Shastri AD. *Sushruta Samhita (Sanskrit text with Hindi translation)*. Vol. I. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthan; 2016.
6. Patwardhan K, Gehlot S, Singh G, Rathore H. Global challenges of graduate-level Ayurvedic education: A survey. *Int J Ayurveda Res*. 2010 Apr;1(2):49–54.
7. Singh RH. *Foundations of Ayurveda: The Ancient Indian Medical System*. Delhi: Chaukhambha Publications; 2011.
8. Tiwari PV. *Ayurveda ka Vaigyanik Itihasa*. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia; 2010.
9. Sharma S. *Concept of Instruments in Sushruta Samhita: A Review*. *J Res Educ Indian Med*. 2013;19(3):177–83.
10. Deshpande PJ. *A scientific and anatomical interpretation of Marma*. J Ayurveda Integr Med. 2010 Oct;1(4):264–7.
11. Mehta P. *Surgical insights in Ayurveda: A bridge between tradition and modernity*. *Anc Sci Life*. 2015 Jul;34(4):193–8.