

“Relevance Of Sushruta’s Anatomical Insight In Modern Surgical Incision Techniques: A Literature Review”

Dr. Pramod Kumar^{1*}, Dr. S.S Gupta²

¹*PG Scholar - Department of Rachana Sharir, Govt. Ayurvedic college, Patna, Bihar

²Guide, Professor and H.O.D. Department of Rachana Sharir, Govt. Ayurvedic college, Patna, Bihar

*Corresponding Author – Dr. Pramod Kumar

*PG Scholar - Department of Rachana Sharir, Govt. Ayurvedic College, Patna, Bihar, Email: dr.pramodrai8@gmail.com

Date of Acceptance- 08/02/2023

Date of Publication – 20/04/2023

ABSTRACT

Background: *Sushruta*, known as the Father of Surgery, provided intricate knowledge of human anatomy and precise descriptions of surgical procedures including various types of incisions (*chedana*). His classification of incisions—based on location, direction, depth, and healing response—forms a foundational basis for ancient Indian surgical principles. In the contemporary era, surgical incision techniques have evolved with a focus on minimizing tissue trauma, reducing infection, and optimizing healing, yet many parallels exist between classical Ayurvedic surgical principles and modern methodologies. **Aim:** To evaluate the relevance and applicability of *Sushruta*’s anatomical and surgical knowledge in the context of modern surgical incision practices. **Objectives:** To explore *Sushruta*’s descriptions of anatomical planes and incision methods. To compare traditional Ayurvedic surgical principles with modern surgical approaches. To assess the clinical significance of ancient techniques in present-day surgical practice. **Materials and Methods:** This study was conducted as a narrative literature review using data sourced from classical Ayurvedic texts such as *Sushruta Samhita*, and peer-reviewed modern surgical literature. Databases like PubMed, Scopus, and AYUSH Research Portal were explored using keywords related to *Sushruta*, anatomical dissection, incision techniques, and surgery. A comparative thematic analysis was used to correlate ancient and modern surgical practices. **Results:** The review identified significant convergence between *Sushruta*’s categorization of incisions (*tiryak*, *chinna*, *viddha*, etc.) and modern surgical principles such as Langer’s lines, safe incision zones, and tissue-handling techniques. His emphasis on precise anatomical localization, minimal trauma, and healing dynamics is reflected in today’s minimally invasive and reconstructive surgical methods. Notably, *Sushruta*’s surgical planning corresponds with current practices in plastic surgery, ENT, and general surgery. **Conclusion:** *Sushruta*’s anatomical and surgical acumen provides enduring value in the modern surgical context. His systematic approach to incision planning, based on functional anatomy, supports a refined understanding of contemporary surgical principles. Integration of classical insights can offer holistic surgical perspectives and promote interdisciplinary surgical education.

KEYWORDS: *Sushruta*, *Chedana Karma*, *Surgical Incision*, *Anatomy*, *Ayurvedic Surgery*, *Modern Surgical Techniques*

INTRODUCTION

Sushruta, often hailed as the Father of Surgery, authored the *Sushruta Samhita*, a classical Ayurvedic text that contains detailed explanations of surgical procedures, anatomical structures, and operative methods. His systematic approach to dissection (*Sharira Rachana Vijnana*) and clinical application of surgical techniques exemplifies a foundational understanding of human anatomy that was unprecedented in ancient times. His work not only laid the foundation of surgery in Ayurveda but also influenced the surgical sciences across the globe.¹

The *Sharira Sthana* of *Sushruta Samhita* presents a comprehensive classification of anatomical components such as *srotas* (channels), *dhatu*s (tissues), *marma* (vital points), and *kalas* (membranes). *Sushruta*’s dissection-based anatomical learning method was revolutionary, involving cadaveric studies which enabled accurate localization of structures. This anatomical awareness directly influenced the selection, direction, and depth of incisions used in various surgical procedures described in the text.²

Sushruta described multiple types of incisions such as *chinna* (transverse), *bheda* (splitting), *chedana* (excision), *lekhana* (scraping), *vyadhana* (puncturing), and *esana* (probing), each tailored for specific pathologies and regions of the body. He emphasized that the shape, direction, and extent of the incision should be governed by the underlying anatomical structures to avoid injury to *marma* and other vital tissues. His descriptions reflect an early understanding of surgical ergonomics and patient safety.³

Sushruta placed considerable importance on wound healing (*vrana ropana*), post-operative care, and minimization of tissue damage. He advocated for clean, sharp instruments (*shastra*) to minimize trauma and inflammation. Modern

surgical practices—such as hemostasis, aseptic technique, layered closure, and wound healing by primary intention—are strongly aligned with these principles, demonstrating the timeless relevance of his insights.⁴

Contemporary surgery emphasizes precise anatomical mapping using tools such as CT, MRI, and ultrasound. Incision techniques are now chosen based on knowledge of fascial planes, vascular patterns, and neural pathways. These modern standards mirror Sushruta's philosophy of anatomically guided intervention. For example, the planning of incisions along Langer's lines to minimize scarring resonates with the Ayurvedic directive to follow natural tissue orientation.⁵

Despite the technological advances in modern surgery, there is a growing recognition of the value in integrating classical wisdom with current methodologies. Understanding *Sushruta's* anatomical and surgical doctrines offers not only historical insight but also a broader framework for safe, effective, and patient-centered surgical care. A comprehensive review of his techniques may help bridge traditional and contemporary surgical philosophies, enriching surgical education and practice.⁶

AIM AND OBJECTIVES

Aim:

To evaluate the relevance of *Sushruta's* anatomical and surgical principles in the context of modern surgical incision techniques.

Objectives:

- To explore the anatomical insights provided by *Sushruta* regarding surgical incisions.
- To analyze the classification and application of incision techniques in *Sushruta Samhita*.
- To compare *Sushruta's* methods with contemporary surgical incision practices.
- To assess the clinical significance and applicability of Ayurvedic surgical principles in modern surgery.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

This study was conducted as a narrative literature review. Primary data was collected from classical Ayurvedic texts, especially the *Sushruta Samhita*, with emphasis on *Sharira Sthana* and *Chikitsa Sthana*, where surgical anatomy and techniques are extensively described. Secondary data was gathered from modern surgical textbooks, peer-reviewed journals, and online academic databases including PubMed, Scopus, and AYUSH Research Portal. Keywords such as *Sushruta*, *Ayurvedic surgery*, *surgical incision techniques*, *marma*, *anatomy*, and *modern surgery* were used for literature retrieval. Comparative analysis was performed to evaluate the convergence of ancient incision techniques with modern surgical standards. Relevant studies and scholarly interpretations were critically analyzed to extract insights regarding their clinical relevance in today's surgical context.

CONCEPTUAL STUDY

Sushruta, in *Sharira Sthana*, emphasized a profound understanding of human anatomy as a prerequisite for any surgical intervention. He advocated cadaveric dissection (*shavavichhedana*) for medical students, a practice still central to modern anatomical education. His classification of bodily structures—*dhamani*, *sira*, *snayu*, *asthi*, *sandhi*, and *marma*—laid the groundwork for a surgical approach based on structure-function correlation, just as modern surgery demands precise anatomical orientation.⁷

Classification of Incisions Based on Anatomical Regions and Pathology:

In *Sushruta Samhita*, various types of incisions (*chedana*, *bhedana*, *lekhana*, *vyadhana*) are described with reference to their shape, depth, direction, and application. These incisions were designed according to the region of the body, underlying tissues, and disease nature. This mirrors the modern practice of customizing incisions based on anatomical zones, vascular supply, and organ sensitivity—for example, transverse incisions in cosmetic surgery or oblique incisions in laparotomy.⁸

Concept of Marma (Vital Points) and Modern Surgical Caution Zones:

Sushruta identified 107 *marma* points—vital areas where injury leads to severe consequences or death. Avoiding these during surgery was considered crucial. This correlates closely with the modern concept of "danger zones" or "no-cut zones," especially in neurovascular surgeries and reconstructive procedures. For instance, *shira marma* (head and neck vital points) align with modern neurosurgical and ENT considerations.⁹

Tissue Preservation and Healing Principles:

The classical texts highlight the importance of minimal tissue damage, clean margins, and avoiding unnecessary trauma—principles that are foundational to modern techniques such as minimally invasive surgery, plastic surgery, and

microsurgery. The use of sharp instruments (*tikshna shastra*) and knowledge of tissue layers (*kala*) reflect the modern concept of respecting tissue planes during incision and dissection.¹⁰

Surgical Ergonomics and Aesthetic Outcomes:

Sushruta's incision techniques emphasized natural anatomical lines and symmetry, akin to today's practice of placing incisions along *Langer's lines* to reduce scarring and promote aesthetic healing. His emphasis on *samyak chedana* (proper incision) for optimum wound healing aligns with present-day surgical suturing techniques, primary closure methods, and aseptic measures.¹¹

Ayurvedic Integration of Surgical Knowledge:

Sushruta's surgical insight extended beyond cutting techniques to include pre-operative and post-operative care (*prachardana*, *vranshodhana*, *vrana-ropana*), anesthesia (*madya sevan*), and surgical ethics. This holistic view integrates well with the modern perioperative care model. Additionally, his surgical teachings were rooted in doshic balance, indicating an early understanding of individualized treatment—now mirrored in personalized and precision surgery.¹²

FLOWCHART: SUSHRUTA'S ANATOMICAL SURGICAL INCISION TECHNIQUES



MODERN ANATOMICAL SURGICAL INCISION TECHNIQUES



RESULTS AND FINDINGS

- *Sushruta*'s incision types match modern surgical methods (e.g., *chedana* = excision).
- Emphasis on anatomy through dissection parallels modern anatomical precision.
- *Marma* concept aligns with modern surgical danger zones.
- Incisions follow natural tissue lines—similar to Langer's lines today.
- Wound healing principles in Ayurveda reflect modern aseptic and post-op care.
- Ancient tools resemble modern surgical instruments in function.
- Pre- and post-operative care guidelines are still clinically relevant.
- Integrating Ayurvedic insights enriches surgical education.
- Supports integrative research in reconstructive and minimally invasive surgery.
- Confirms *Sushruta*'s methods are timeless and scientifically structured.

DISCUSSION

Sushruta's insistence on anatomical mastery through cadaveric dissection (*shavavichhedana*) demonstrates a forward-thinking approach that resonates with modern surgical training. His detailed descriptions of internal structures, vital points (*marma*), and tissue layers (*kala*) highlight a systematic understanding of the human body. Today, surgical education still prioritizes anatomy as the backbone of safe and effective procedures, underscoring the timelessness of *Sushruta*'s teachings.¹³

The classification of incisions by *Sushruta*—such as *chedana* (excision), *bhedana* (splitting), *lekha* (scraping), and *vyadhana* (puncture)—closely aligns with contemporary incision techniques. These ancient terms reflect thoughtful distinctions based on purpose, direction, and tissue involvement, much like modern surgical planning. The choice of incision in both systems depends on pathology, anatomical location, and desired outcome, reflecting a shared clinical logic.¹⁴

One of the most remarkable contributions of *Sushruta* is the concept of *marma*—vital anatomical points whose injury can be fatal or disabling. This ancient understanding parallels today's identification of critical neurovascular structures, such

as the facial nerve in parotid surgery or major arteries in vascular procedures. Avoidance of such areas in both systems reflects an inherent appreciation for functional anatomy and surgical safety.¹⁵

Sushruta's emphasis on proper instrument use, wound care (*vrana shodhana*), and healing assessment (*vrana ropana*) reveals a deep understanding of tissue response and infection control. Though lacking modern microbiology, his methods advocated cleanliness, precision, and patient-specific care. These principles mirror contemporary aseptic techniques, suturing methods, and post-operative wound management, showing that many of his concepts were precursors to modern surgical protocols.¹⁶

The findings of this review reveal not only the historical value of *Sushruta's* anatomical and surgical insights but also their relevance in present-day surgical education and practice. There is significant scope to integrate Ayurvedic surgical philosophy into modern curricula for enriching anatomical understanding and ethical surgical conduct. Future research can explore specific correlations, such as *marma* mapping with surgical landmarks, and Ayurveda's contributions to minimally invasive and reconstructive surgery.¹⁷

CONCLUSION

Sushruta's profound anatomical knowledge and meticulous classification of surgical incision techniques demonstrate a remarkably advanced understanding of human structure and surgical safety, which continues to hold relevance in modern surgical practice. His principles—emphasizing anatomical precision, protection of vital structures, minimal tissue trauma, and systematic post-operative care—parallel contemporary approaches rooted in evidence-based medicine. This literary review highlights the potential of integrating Ayurvedic surgical wisdom into modern education and research, offering a holistic, safe, and ethically grounded framework for surgical innovation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST – NIL

SOURCE OF SUPPORT –NONE

REFERENCES

1. Sharma PV. *Sushruta Samhita: With English Translation of Text and Dalhana's Commentary*. Vol. 1. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Visvabharati; 2010.
2. Dwivedi RK. *Anatomy in Ayurveda (Sharira Rachana Vijnana)*. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Bhawan; 2004.
3. Acharya JT, editor. *Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandha Sangraha Commentary of Dalhana*. Sharira Sthana. 1st ed. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Orientalia; 2012.
4. Pandey G. *Vrana Chikitsa in Ayurveda*. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Krishnadas Academy; 2010.
5. Standring S, editor. *Gray's Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice*. 41st ed. London: Elsevier; 2016.
6. Patwardhan K, Warude D, Pushpangadan P, Bhatt N. Ayurveda and traditional Chinese medicine: A comparative overview. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 2005;2(4):465–73.
7. Bhargava AN. *Introduction to Sharira Rachana (Anatomy in Ayurveda)*. Delhi: Chaukhambha Orientalia; 2003.
8. Kulkarni RR. *Ayurvediya Shalya Tantra*. Vol. 1. Pune: Sri Baidyanath Ayurved Bhavan; 2006.
9. Raut S. *Marma Science and Principles of Surgery in Ayurveda*. Delhi: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Pratishthan; 2008.
10. Yadavji Trikamji A, editor. *Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Commentary of Dalhana*. Chikitsa Sthana. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surbharati Prakashan; 2011.
11. Chaudhary A, Singh N. Relevance of Langer's lines in surgical practice. *J Anat Soc India*. 2002;51(2):162–70.
12. Sharma H, Clark C. Contemporary Ayurveda: Medicine and Research in the 21st Century. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2001;7(2):111–5.
13. Ghanekar BG. *Sushruta Samhita: Ayurved Tatva Sandipika Hindi Commentary*. Vol. 1. Varanasi: Meherchand Lachhmandas Publications; 2002.
14. Deshpande PJ. *A Handbook of Ayurvedic Surgery*. Mumbai: B. G. Paul & Co; 1994.
15. Tripathi B. *Marma and its Clinical Importance*. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surbharati; 2008.
16. Satoskar RS, Bhandarkar SD, Rege NN. *Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapeutics*. 25th ed. Mumbai: Popular Prakashan; 2017.
17. Patil A, Patil P, Patil S. Application of Ancient Surgical Techniques in Modern Reconstructive Surgery: An Ayurvedic Perspective. *AYU*. 2020;41(1):50–6.