Reaffirming the Self Image through Self Reflection, Self-Criticism and Imposing Restrictions upon Self – The Psychological Realm

[1]Kshirsagar Sharad, [2]Deshmukh Ajay, [3]Deepika Belwal, [4]Dr. Indrajit Patra

[1]Department of Psychiatry,
Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences,
Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Karad,
Email: medhavidesh@gmail.com
[2]Department of Psychiatry,
Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences,
Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), Karad,
Email: medhavidesh@gmail.com
[3]Department of Commerce, Graphic Era Hill University,
Dehradun, 248002,
dbelwal@gehu.ac.in
[4]An Independent Researcher and Ex Research Scholar at NIT Durgapur,
West Bengal, India
ipmagnetron0@gmail.com

Abstract

Several studies have shown that self-criticism and self-assurance may work in parallel and even cooperate. The purpose of this research was to test the hypothesis that, in contrast to self-esteem, which is based on a separate motivational mechanism, self-reassurance acts as a buffer between criticism of oneself and depressed symptoms.

The buffering theory of self-reassurance is supported by the finding that, at high levels of self-reassurance, the correlation between self-criticism and depression symptoms disappears. Self-esteem was not a moderator of the connection between self-criticism and depressed symptoms, despite the strong association between the two concepts. The negative effects of self-criticism and depression may be mitigated by practising self-reassurance rather than self-esteem.

Keywords: Self-criticism, self-reassurance, depressive symptoms, non-significant, correlation, mechanisms

Introduction

Self-criticism and self-assurance are two sides of the same coin, yet they are still separate processes. Various forms of positive self-relating have different relationships to depression. Having high self-esteem does not provide protection against the psychopathological effects of self-criticism, but the capacity to reassure oneself does. There is hope in the use of compassion-focused therapies to assist clients mitigate the emotional toll of self-criticism.

The importance of self-compassion to psychological well-being is becoming more widely acknowledged. The purpose of this research was to examine whether or not self-compassion may mitigate the negative impact of self-criticism on depressed symptoms. A total of 147 African Americans from urban low-income areas who had recently attempted suicide participated in the study. Self-criticism, symptoms of depression, and compassion for oneself scales were given to the participants. This cross-sectional study found a positive correlation between self-criticism and depressed symptoms, and a negative correlation between self-compassion and depressive symptoms. The detrimental effects of self-criticism on depressed symptoms were shown to be moderated by self-compassion, as determined by a bootstrapping study.

Based on these results, it seems that self-compassion-focused therapies may help low-income African Americans who had attempted suicide in the recent past. These findings further emphasise the importance of compassion for oneself as a positive attribute with the potential to enhance individuals' quality of life and provide support for the idea that therapies centred on self-compassion are congruent with positive psychology theoretical stance.

Improving Psychological Outcomes with Compassion Focused Imagery: A Systematic Review for Clinical and General Adult Populations

Self-compassion may be aided by the use of compassion-focused imagery (CFI), in which one creates and explores mental images of a compassionate ideal. As a component of a larger skills-development programme, it is often used in Compassion Mind Training. The purpose of this study was to investigate whether or not CFI is successful as a short solo intervention on psychological outcomes in both clinical and clinical adult groups. The impacts on certain populations were also investigated.

Self-compassion or positive affect were found to increase, whereas self-criticism, humiliation, and paranoia were found to decrease, as stated by the majority of the research. Estimated treatment effects vary from 0.09 to 1.39, and effect sizes span from 0.02 to 1.1 across assessments of compassion for oneself, self-criticism, and shame. Most studies have shown positive psychological results, and this is optimistic, but the data is restricted by methodological difficulties and variability in the literature. Researchers who used CFI on patients with serious brain injuries found very modest gains. Non-clinical samples more often revealed improvements in paranoid measures compared to clinical sample research. Individuals' tendency to be harsh on themselves has been identified as a significant impediment to their participation in CFI activities.

The onset of depression is often preceded by pessimistic thoughts about one's own capabilities. According to Beck's 'vulnerability' model, a person's susceptibility to depression increases if they form a poor self-concept. This kind of thinking leaves one open to being hurt when bad things happen. According to the hypothesis, pessimistic outlooks on one's surroundings and one's future are essential components in the onset of depression. This is consistent with data showing that those who are at a greater risk of depression also have more pessimistic views about themselves. But other researchers have proposed that depressed people tend to think poorly of themselves. The purpose of this study is to clarify temporal linkages between unfavourable self-beliefs and poor mood, and to get a better knowledge of how self-cognitions contribute to the emergence of depression symptoms throughout the early teenage era.

Beck's cognitive approach and Blatt's psychoanalytic approach to depression both highlight self-cognitions that are especially pertinent to the disorder: self-esteem and self-criticism. Self-esteem, defined as one's overall evaluation of oneself, has been the primary subject of empirical studies. Because a person's positive or negative self-esteem may steer their whole mental framework towards or away from depressed thinking, Beck emphasises self-esteem as especially significant.

People engage in self-criticism when they judge that they have fallen short of their own self-imposed standards. A generalised pattern of self-focused thought that develops in the wake of any kind of perceived failure. This global self-cognition, like low self-esteem, is thought to give rise to the negative schema that is at the root of depressed thought.

The present research looked at how teenagers' self-perceptions were related to their levels of depression. Even yet, the discovery that low self-esteem transmits direct risk for depressive symptoms, but high self-criticism does not, may have significant implications for the medical care of teenagers with or at risk for depression. It lends credence to the idea that self-esteem should be a primary emphasis of depression prevention programmes, while simultaneously suggesting that self-criticism need not be. Furthermore, implications for the treatment of depression may be drawn from the findings of a reciprocal association between self-esteem and depressed symptoms.

Cognitive therapists should pay special attention to the client's "hot" or most emotionally relevant thoughts, as advocated by clinicians like Greenberger and Padesky. Based on these results, it seems to be crucial to ensure that cognitions linked to poor self-esteem are tackled as well as part of relapsing prevention, regardless of how emotionally charged the topic may be for the client.

Development of Self Awareness

Overall, these results imply that, between the ages of 12 and 14, there is a strong correlation between low self-esteem and signs of depression; however, the relationship seems to be more reciprocal than predictive. However, self-criticism is not only not a direct predictor of depression symptoms but also not predicted by them. At first, poor self-esteem is predicted by depression, but subsequently, the reverse is true.

These findings provide further evidence that the fragility model and the scar model are unable to fully explain the relationship between these factors in preteens and teenagers. Self-cognitions tend to solidify throughout the latter stages of adolescence and early adulthood, a time where the vulnerability model may be applicable. There seems to be a greater reciprocal association between depression and low self-worth in early adolescence, when these cognitions are still evolving.

Self-awareness boils down to the power of turning our gaze inward, of being the centre of our own attention (Eurich, 2017). Multiple definitions of self-awareness have been proposed in the literature, as discussed by numerous recent reviews.There are both internal and exterior aspects to the content of self-awareness.

Feelings, thoughts, ideas, aspirations, plans, character traits, morals, and ethical trajectories are all components of our inner selves that we are conscious of. Our sense of how others see us, both physically and behaviorally, is part of our external self-awareness. An individual's social self-awareness encompasses their ability to reflect on their own actions in light of the opinions of others (feedback), understand how their actions affect those around them, and adjust their behaviour accordingly. Concepts that have subtle characteristics but may be used interchangeably with self-awareness reveal the internal and outward aspects of self-awareness: perceptions of oneself and one's surroundings, as well as one's sense of oneself and one's sense of one's own identity in certain settings.

Thinking about oneself in such a way that one becomes aware of one's traits, maintains this knowledge, and makes use of it in one's behaviour and interactions with others is the process of self-awareness. Introspection, self-analysis, and self-obsession are all examples of mental processes (Carden et al. 2022; Chon & Sitkin, 2022). Itzchakov et al. (2018), Sutton (2016), Trapnell & Campbell (1999) all define reflective self-awareness as "constant attention to the self," with an emphasis on intentional and balanced learning driven by curiosity or desire to the self (what we are and what we may become).

Anxiety, grief, or anger are the emotional triggers that lead to ruminative self-awareness (Itzchakov et al. 2018). Some people have a natural propensity, or the ability or habit they've developed, to engage in handles that sustain as well as increase their self-awareness, such as thinking about themselves, dwelling on their flaws, and being generally aware of their abilities, wants, and desires (Sutton, 2016).

Impact and Influence of Self-Awareness

Knowing oneself well impacts one's actions, happiness, and productivity (Carden et al., 2022). Increased self-regulation, heightened pro-sociality, and reduced stress and anxiety are just some of the psychological advantages that come with developing a keen sense of self-awareness (Rasheed et al. 2019).

There is no "one truth" about who we are; rather, self-awareness is a dynamic equilibrium between the processes and substance of how we see ourselves in varying contexts and roles throughout time (Eurich, 2018). Some studies imply that about 10% to 15% of individuals are really self-aware (Eurich, 2017), despite the fact that many people feel they have a strong understanding of themselves. Intentionally beginning, and then expanding and strengthening, the procedures or activities used to pursue self-awareness is what we mean when we talk about self-awareness growth as a lifetime process.

Three broad categories of methods for expanding one's sense of self were proposed by Rasheed et al. (2019):

1. Methods grounded in theory that use multiple models to foster and enhance self-awareness;

2. Our examination of the literature on growth in self-awareness led us to the following educational and pedagogical interventions:

3. Individually driven changes are known as "personal interventions." Here, we take a look at several theoretical approaches to growing your sense of self.

The term "self-esteem" is used to describe how one feels about oneself, whether such sentiments are favourable (high self-esteem) or bad (low self-esteem). When we have a healthy perspective of ourselves and the world, we enjoy the wonderful sensations of high self-esteem. When we compare ourselves to other people and come to the conclusion that we are not good enough, we suffer from low self-esteem.

Both direct and indirect methods of gauging self-perception provide favourable results, showing that the vast majority of individuals have a favourable opinion of themselves. The Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale is a popular example of an explicit self-report indicator of self-esteem. better levels of confidence are associated with better scores.

The Rosenberg scale has been used extensively in several research to measure self-esteem among individuals all around the globe. It's noteworthy to note that in many Western samples, especially those from North America, the mean is substantially higher than the median. Less than 7% of individuals scored below the median, according to meta-analytic statistics given, for instance, by Heine and Lehman (1999). This has important implications, since it suggests that people in samples whose self-esteem is labelled as low based on a median split really have a minimum of moderate self-worth.

People in societies where a positive sense of self-worth is more highly valued tend to experience greater social pressure to express that value (Held, 2002). One issue with surveys like the Rosen scale is that they might be skewed by the respondent's want to present themselves favourably. It's possible that the observed Rosenberg scale scores are exaggerated due to people's inherent desire to project an image of high self-esteem; for example, they may tell the experimenters a little white lie to make themselves appear better than they are.

Indirect research on self-concept was conducted with the help of the Implicit Association Test by Anthony Greenwald and Shelley Farnham (2000). Participants were asked to classify a set of words into one of two groups using a computer programme. Words were placed into one of two groups depending on whether they referred to the one doing the categorising (me, myself, mine) or another group (other, them, their).

Cross Cultural Differences in Implicit Self-Esteem

Later research on the topic showed no evidence of the same cultural differences in implicit self-esteem that were reported in studies using more overt measures, such as the Sternberg scale. Does this suggest that the lower self-report scores seen in people living in collectivistic societies are only superficial? Probably not just yet, particularly considering how weak the links are between the two when it comes to gauging one's own sense of worth.

However, self-reported levels of self-esteem may reflect disparities in cultural emphasis on qualities like humility against its prominence in collectivistic societies. Indeed, cultural variations in modesty explain the disparities in participants' explicit self-esteem among Chinese or American participants (Cai et al., 2007).

The gender gap in the averages of diversity and self-esteem is an intriguing phenomenon. Females consistently report lower levels of self-esteem than males do over the world. However, studies have shown that the gaps are quite narrow, especially in countries with more progressive gender equality policies and programmes . These results are in line with Mead's (1934) contention that self-esteem is tied, at least in part, to how others perceive our place in the world. The gap between males and women's conceptions of self-worth has narrowed as women in many countries have had more access to paid work outside the house.

It's possible that the opinions of others might have such a profound impact on how we see ourselves that we begin to believe them. Sometimes these labels are casual, such as our ethnicity, and sometimes they are more official, such as a medical or mental health diagnosis. When others give us labels—whether positive or negative—their perceptions of us change (Fox & Stinnett, 1996). If a teacher is aware that one of their students has been identified as having a mental illness, she or he may react differently to the child's behaviour and provide alternative reasons for it than they would otherwise. When our expectations become self-fulfilling prophesies and our views of ourselves and even our behaviour conform to them, that's when things become very fascinating for our current debate. Labelling children in special education settings, for instance, may have a negative effect on their sense of self-worth .

Self-labeling occurs when we incorporate the labels that others have applied to us into our own sense of identity as a result of constant exposure to others' judgements and assessments. It seems that the labels themselves have a significant impact on how they make us feel about ourselves. Psychological disorder labels may have a negative impact on those who internalise them.

Sometimes, persons who have been given derogatory titles by society at large are able to reclaim those labels for

themselves. Members of marginalised groups sometimes resort to self-labeling in order to recover disparaging terminology used by dominant groups, such as "queer" and "bitch," as Galinsky and colleagues (2013) investigated. After assigning themselves a more positive meaning to these phrases, individuals of underrepresented groups felt themselves as more powerful and were subsequently so labelled by others. In sum, these findings suggest that people who reclaim a stigmatised term by making it part of their identity may eventually succeed in reducing the label's negative connotations.

The Importance of Self Image

Learning shapes one's sense of self-concept. Our sense of who we are as adults is profoundly shaped by the people who cared for us as children. They serve as mirrors, reflecting our own selves back to us. The interactions we have with influential people like educators, peers, and loved ones shape who we see when we look in the mirror. The way we feel and think about ourselves is shaped by the people in our lives.

What we see when we look in the mirror may or may not be an accurate reflection of our true selves. We form our positive or negative sense of ourselves based on this perspective. How we behave now is influenced by the virtues and vices that we have internalised throughout time. Every day, we take in new data and constantly assess our physical appearance, our progress, and the significance we hold in our relationships.

A healthy sense of self-image involves acknowledging and accepting both one's strengths and weaknesses. When we have a poor opinion of ourselves, we tend to dwell on our flaws and shortcomings, magnifying our mistakes and shortcomings. The way we see ourselves has profound implications for our happiness, our relationships, and our actions in the world. When we have a good opinion of ourselves, it benefits us in many ways. However, if we have a poor perception of ourselves, we may feel less content and do less well in these areas.

Conclusion

Negative body image may be improved in many ways than just physical appearance. Altering one's mental, emotional, and physiological responses is required. Two methods of body modification include dieting and surgical procedures. The capacity to shed weight improves when one learns to accept and love one's flawed physical form. Surgical procedures may alter one's perception of oneself. Large-scale physical makeovers, however, call for equally dramatic mental makeovers. How we feel regarding ourselves is influenced by how self-aware we are. As a result, it fosters characteristics like self-efficacy and self-confidence, which act as intermediaries between other traits like self-awareness, feedback-seeking, empathy for others and building relationships, goal-setting, effort, and performance. In turn, these results are associated with enhanced or altered levels of self-awareness. The combination of theoretical study with actual application may serve as the basis for lifelong learning and development in the workplace.

References
1. Barnard, L. K., & Curry, J. F. (2011). Self-compassion: Conceptualizations, correlates, & interventions. Review of General Psychology, 15, 289-303. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025754
2. Brenner, R. E., Heath, P. J., Vogel, D. L., &Credé, M. (2017). Two is more valid than one: Examining the factor structure of the Self-Compassion Scale (SCS). Journal of Counseling Psychology, 64(6), 696-707. https://doi.org/10.1037/cou0000211
3. Castilho, P., Pinto-Gouveia, J., & Duarte, J. (2015). Exploring self-criticism: Confirmatory factor analysis of the FSCRS in clinical and nonclinical samples. Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy, 22, 153-164. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.1881
4. Huaiyu Zhang 1, Natalie N Watson-Singleton 2, Sara E Pollard 3, Delishia M Pittman 4, Dorian A Lamis 5, Nicole L Fischer 6, Bobbi Patterson 5, Nadine J Kaslow 5 SafirManer 1, Paul Graham Morris 1, Bethany Iona Flewitt 2 Beck AT. Cognitive models of depression. Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy. 1987;1(1):5–37.
5. Garber J, Robinson NS. Cognitive vulnerability in children at risk for depression. Cognition & Emotion. 1997;11(5–6):619–35.
6. Blatt SJ. Levels of object representation in anaclitic and introjective depression. The Psychoanalytic Study of the Child. 1974;29(10):7–157.
7. Rosenberg M, Schooler C, Schoenbach C, Rosenberg F. Global self-esteem and specific self-esteem: Different concepts, different outcomes. American sociological review. 1995:141–56.
8. Shahar G, Henrich CC. Axis of criticism model (ACRIM): An integrative

conceptualization of person–context exchanges in vulnerability to adolescent psychopathology. Journal of Psychotherapy Integration. 2013;23(3):236.

9.   Greenberger D, Padesky CA. Mind over mood: Change how you feel by changing the way you think New York, NY: Guilford Press; 1995.

10. Manuel London,1 Valerie I. Sessa,2 and Loren A. Shelley2 1College of Business, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, New York, USA; email: manuel.london@stonybrook.edu2 Department of Psychology, Montclair State University, Montclair, New Jersey, USA

11. Aronson, E. (1992). The return of the repressed: Dissonance theory makes a comeback. Psychological Inquiry, 3(4), 303–311.

12. Baumeister, R. F., Campbell, J. D., Krueger, J. I., &Vohs, K. D. (2003). Does high self-esteem cause better performance, interpersonal success, happiness, or healthier lifestyles? Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 4(1), 1–44.

13. Baumeister, R. F., Smart, L., & Boden, J. M. (1996). Relation of threatened egotism to violence and aggression: The dark side of high self-esteem. Psychological Review, 103(1), 5–34.

14. Brown, J. D. (2010). Across the (not so) great divide: Cultural similarities in self-evaluative processes. Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 4, 318-330.

15. Cai, H., Brown, J. D., Deng, C., & Oakes, M. A. (2007). Self-esteem and culture: Differences in cognitive self-evaluations or affective self-regard?. Asian Journal Of Social Psychology, 10(3), 162-170. doi:10.1111/j.1467-839X.2007.00222.x

16. Emler, N. (2001). Self esteem: The costs and causes of low self worth. York: York Publishing Services.

17. Greenwald, A. G., & Farnham, S. D. (2000). Using the Implicit Association Test to measure self-esteem and self-concept. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 79(6), 1022–1038.

18. Heatherton, T. F., &Vohs, K. D. (2000). Interpersonal evaluations following threats to self: Role of self-esteem. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 78, 725–736.

19. Heine, S. J. (2004). Positive self-views: Understanding universals and variability. Journal of Cultural and Evolutionary Psychology, 2, 109-122.

20. National Eating Disorders Association. 10 Steps to Positive Body Image (https://www.nationaleatingdisorders.org/learn/general-information/ten-steps) Accessed 12/15/2020.

21. Farrar S, Stopa L, Turner H. Self-imagery in individuals with high body dissatisfaction: the effect of positive and negative self-imagery on aspects of the self-concept. (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25164092/) J BehavTherExp Psychiatry. 2015 Mar;46:8-13. Accessed 12/15/2020.

22. National Institute of Mental Health. Eating Disorders: About More Than Food. (https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/publications/eating-disorders/index.shtml) Accessed 12/15/2020.

23. National Alliance on Mental Illness. Why Self-Esteem is Important for Mental Health. (https://www.nami.org/Blogs/NAMI-Blog/July-2016/Why-Self-Esteem-Is-Important-for-Mental-Health) Accessed 12/15/2020.

24. Luhtanen, R., & Crocker, J. (1992). A collective self-esteem scale: Self-evaluation of one's social identity. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 18, 302–318.

25. Marsh, H. W., Kong, C.-K., &Hau, K-T. (2000). Longitudinal multilevel models of the big-fish-little-pond effect on academic self-concept: Counterbalancing contrast and reflected-glory effects in Hong Kong schools. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 78, 337–349.

26. Marwick, A. E., & Boyd, D. (2011). I tweet honestly, I tweet passionately: Twitter users, context collapse, and the imagined audience. New Media & Society, 13(1), 114-133. doi:10.1177/1461444810365313

27. Siero, F. W., Bakker, A. B., Dekker, G. B., & van den Berg, M. T. (1996). Changing organizational energy consumption behavior through comparative feedback. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 16, 235-246.

28. Smith, K., Smith, M., & Wang, K. (2010). Does brand management of corporate reputation translate into higher market value? Journal of Strategic Marketing, 18(3), 201-221. doi:10.1080/09652540903537030

29. Taylor, L.M., Hume, I.R., and Welsh, N. (2010) Labelling and Self-esteem: The impact of using specific versus generic labels. Educational Psychology, 1, 1-12

30. Tesser, A. (1980) Self–esteem maintenance in family dynamics. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 1980, 39(1)

31. Tesser, A. (1988). Toward a self-evaluation maintenance model of social behavior. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 21, 181–227.